7 • Hormones and alternative reproductive tactics in vertebrates RUI F. OLIVEIRA, ADELINO V. M. CANÁRIO, AND ALBERT F. H. ROS

CHAPTER SUMMARY

The wide diversity of alternative tactics of reproduction found among vertebrates offers a unique opportunity to study the endocrine mechanisms underlying the phenotypic variation of reproductive traits. Here, we first assess the existing conceptual frameworks on the mechanisms underlying the expression of alternative reproductive tactics (ARTs) by reviewing the available data on hormone levels in alternative phenotypes and on the effects of hormone manipulations in different vertebrate taxa. We then highlight recent studies that have opened new avenues of research on the neuroendocrine basis of ARTs, such as the use of functional genomics to study differential gene expression between morphs. Finally, we stress the need to integrate the study of ARTs with the mechanisms underlving the expression of alternative phenotypes and with functional studies of ARTs. Only such an integrative approach will allow a comprehensive understanding of the evolution and development of ARTs.

7.1 INTRODUCTION

7.1.1 Setting the scene

According to the classic paradigm of the endocrine control of vertebrate reproduction, the hypothalamus–pituitary– gonadal (HPG) axis controls gonadal maturation, the expression of secondary sexual characters, and reproductive behavior (Figure 7.1A). However, in some species there are males in which gonadal maturation and sperm production are dissociated from the expression of behavioral and morphological male traits (i.e., secondary sexual characters). They are males with male alternative reproductive tactics (ARTs), and they offer unique opportunities to study the proximate mechanisms of reproduction (Figure 7.1B). ARTs are also valuable models for the study of the causal mechanisms underlying individual variation in reproduction since within-sex variation in reproductive traits can be studied without the confounding effects of gender (Moore 1991, Godwin and Crews 2002).

Historically, typological classifications of ARTs have been based on the evolutionary processes underlying their expression (e.g., genetic polymorphisms vs. conditional tactics, Gross 1996; or Mendelian strategies vs. developmental strategies vs. behavioral strategies, Shuster and Wade 2003). In this chapter we will use a classification based on observed patterns of ARTs that does not require knowledge of their underlying processes (e.g., genetic vs. conditional strategies). The classification scheme is modified from that proposed by other authors (Caro and Bateson 1986, Moore 1991, Taborsky 1994, Moore et al. 1998, Brockmann 2001). We will consider alternative reproductive phenotypes as fixed if the individuals adopt one of the tactics for their entire lifetime or as plastic if individuals change their reproductive tactic. Within plastic ART phenotypes, we will distinguish between irreversible sequential patterns, when individuals switch from one tactic to another at a particular moment in their lifetime, and reversible patterns, when individuals can change back and forth between patterns (Moore 1991, Moore et al. 1998, Brockmann 2001) (see Figure 1.1).

A number of reviews on the proximate mechanisms of ARTs have been published lately, but each has a different focus from the present chapter. Moore and co-authors (1998) develop a conceptual framework for the role of hormones on tactic differentiation, Rhen and Crews (2002) provide an overview of mechanisms involved in ARTs in different vertebrate taxa, Knapp (2003) proposes a new generation of studies more focused on target tissues than on circulating levels of hormones, and Oliveira (2005) and Oliveira and co-authors (2005) focus on mechanisms operating in fish ARTs. So what can be added by another chapter on the causal mechanisms of ARTs?

Alternative Reproductive Tactics, ed. Rui F. Oliveira, Michael Taborsky, and H. Jane Brockmann. Published by Cambridge University Press. © Cambridge University Press 2008.



Figure 7.1 (A) Different reproductive traits share a common underlying causal agent (e.g., testosterone); (B) in species with ARTs a dissociation between the different traits may occur resulting in a phenotypic mosaic that can express both male and female traits (e.g., sneaker males that mimic female behavior and morphology in order to achieve fertilizations).

This chapter has two main objectives. The first is to present an exhaustive revision of the available data on hormone levels in alternative phenotypes and on the effects of hormone manipulations in different vertebrate taxa. This will provide the basis for the assessment of existing conceptual frameworks on the mechanisms underlying the expression of ARTs. The second objective is to highlight recent studies that have opened new avenues of research on the physiological basis of ARTs and its implications for understanding the evolution of ARTs (e.g., the study of differential hormonal-mediated costs of alternative phenotypes and the field of functional genomics to study differential gene expression between morphs).

7.1.2 Who's in the ARTs ark?

We will address only male ARTs since they are the most common and best-studied cases at a proximate level. In contrast to other recent reviews of ARTs, we also include species with cooperative breeding in which parentage is shared between breeders and helpers (e.g., acorn woodpecker, Melanerpes formicivorus: Haydock et al. 2001), in which there are behavioral observations of breeding attempts with the female of the pair by helpers (e.g., bell miner, Manorina melanophrys: Poiani and Fletcher 1994; but see Conrad et al. 1998), and in which helpers are nonbreeders in their home group but attempt extra-pair copulations (EPC) with other group females (e.g., superb fairy-wrens, Malurus cyaneus: Mulder et al. 1994). In these cases we consider helping to be an alternative tactic to achieve breeding. According to these criteria we have included in our analyses the cooperative breeding species listed in Table 7.1. It should be noted that the use of these criteria assumes that observed mating episodes result in reproductive output, which may not always be the case. In contrast, we have discarded other cooperative breeding species for which detailed hormonal data are available when paternity analyses have revealed that the species are genetically monogamous (e.g., Florida scrub-jay, Aphelocoma coerulescens: Schoech et al. 1991, 1996, Quinn et al. 1999; red-cockaded woodpecker, Picoides borealis: Haig et al. 1994, Khan et al. 2001). In the white-browed sparrow weaver, Plocepasser mahali (Wingfield et al. 1991), for which there are hormone data for both breeders and helpers, the information on the helpers' behavior suggests that they do not try to sneak copulations (J.C. Wingfield, personal communication), and therefore this species was not included. Finally, there are species for which the available information regarding the reproduction of helpers is dubious or indirect. In the pied kingfisher (Cervle rudis), two types of helpers occur: primary helpers that are offspring of the breeding pair and secondary helpers that are unrelated to breeders (Reyer 1980, 1984). Primary helpers have small, immature gonads and have lower testosterone levels than both male breeders and secondary helpers, and thus are not able to fertilize eggs (Reyer et al. 1986). In contrast, secondary helpers, which have mature gonads, sometimes fight with the breeder male to get access to the female of the pair (Rever et al. 1986). Therefore, even without parentage data, we decided to consider secondary helping of the pied kingfisher as an ART and have included it in the analysis.

Two cooperatively breeding rodents in which helpers do not achieve reproductive success were also included, as they might be seen as special cases of ARTs: the naked mole-rat (*Heterocephalus glaber*) and the Mongolian gerbil (*Meriones unguiculatus*). In both cases subordinate individuals acting as helpers are incapable of direct reproduction and are

134 R. F. OLIVEIRA, A. V. M. CANÁRIO, AND A. F. H. ROS

Species	Evidence for breeding in helpers (reproductive success of helpers)	References
Fish		
Princess of Burundi, Neolamprologus brichardi	Genetic (10.8% of offspring)	Dierkes et al. 1999
Birds		
Seychelles warbler, <i>Acrocephalus</i> sechellensis	Genetic (15% of offspring)	Richardson et al. 2001
Mexican scrub-jay, Aphelocoma coerulescens	Genetic (low)	Bowen et al. 1995
Acorn woodpecker, <i>Melanerpes</i> formicivorus	Genetic (approx. 25% of offspring)	Haydock et al. 2001
Australian magpie, <i>Gymnorhina</i> <i>tibicen</i>	Genetic (high; up to 82% of extra-group paternity)	Hughes et al. 2003
Azure-winged magpie, Cyanopica cyanus	Behavioral (high)	De la Cruz et al. 2003; Valencia et al. 2003
Bell miner, Manorina melanophrys	Behavioral/genetic (genetic data indicates very low success)	Poiani and Fletcher 1994; Conrad <i>et al.</i> 1998
Superb fairy-wren, Malurus cyaneus	Genetic (within-group = 2.2% ; extra-group = 76%)	Mulder et al. 1994
Pied kingfisher, Ceryle rudis	Behavioral (low)	Reyer et al. 1986
Harris's hawk, Parabuteo unicinctus	Behavioral (low)	Dawson and Mannan 1991
Mammals		
Ring-tailed lemur, Lemur catta	Behavioral (high)	Sauther 1991; Sussman 1991
Common marmoset, Callithrix jacchus	Behavioral/genetic (genetic data indicates very low success within the group)	Digby 1999; Nievergelt et al. 2000
Alpine marmot, Marmota marmota	Genetic (only subordinate helpers)	U. Bruns and W. Arnold, unpublished data in Dierkes <i>et al.</i> 1999
Dwarf mongoose, Helogale parvula	Genetic (24% of offspring)	Keane et al. 1994
Meerkat, Suricata suricatta	Genetic (low)	Griffin et al. 2003
Gray wolf, Canis lupus	Behavioral (low)	Creel 2005
African wild-dog, Lycaon pictus	Behavioral/genetic (low)	Girman et al. 1997; Creel and Creel 2002

Table 7.1. Cooperative breeding species in which helpers also breed

obligate helpers, and thus their fitness is entirely indirect (Clark and Galef 2000, Faulkes and Bennett 2001) (see Box 7.1). In these two cases, it can be argued that helping is a conditional strategy, without which these individuals would have zero fitness.

In summary, this chapter will cover not only the usual ARTs but also the cooperative breeders that fit the conditions described above.

7.2 PROFILES OF ALTERNATIVE REPRODUCTIVE PHENOTYPES

In general, two alternative modes or tactics of reproduction can be found in species with male ARTs: a conventional or bourgeois tactic or an alternative or parasitic tactic. Whereas bourgeois males invest resources to attract mates (e.g., differentiation of morphological ornaments; expression **Box 7.1** Obligatory helping as an alternative reproductive tactic

In cooperatively breeding animals, it is usual that reproduction is monopolized by some group members resulting in a high within-group reproductive skew. Kin selection theory may explain indirect benefits for nonbreeding individuals that act as helpers in these groups, while direct benefits such as queuing to take over the breeding position when it is vacant have been advocated (see Solomon and French 1997). There are two extreme cases of obligatory helping that have been described among cooperatively breeding mammals: the naked mole-rat (Heterocephalus glaber) and the Mongolian gerbil (Meriones unguiculatus). In these two cases it can be argued that since their inclusive fitness equals their indirect fitness (i.e., the only chance that nonbreeding individuals have during their whole lifespan to get copies of their genes into the next generation is by helping kin to reproduce), individuals that specialize in alloparenting and/or helping behavior patterns can be seen as adopting an alternative tactic.

The naked mole-rat fits the eusociality definition derived from insects, since division of labor is present in the colony among the nonbreeding helpers, which is based on body size (Lacey and Sherman 1991). A single female, the "queen," is sexually active breeding with up to three breeding males (Bennett and Faulkes 2000). The queen controls the reproductive physiology of both sexes, maintaining the reproductive suppression of their subordinate colony mates (Faulkes and Abbott 1997). There is

of visual, chemical, or acoustic courtship signals; defense of breeding territories) (see Chapter 1 and Taborsky 1997), parasitic males, in contrast, exploit the investment made by the bourgeois males to get access to mates (e.g., female mimicry, sneaking, satellite) (see Chapter 1 and Taborsky 1997). Therefore, the traits selected in the two male types are usually divergent. In bourgeois males, traits related with mate attraction and monopolization will be favored by selection, while in parasitic males, traits that increase the probability of stealing fertilizations from bourgeois males will prevail. This disruptive selection acting on a constellation of phenotypic traits may result in the creation of phenotypic mosaics in which both male and female traits are expressed in the same individual, as is the case with parasitic males that mimic female morphology and behavior to get access to fertilization events (e.g., female mimicry in sneaker males of the peacock

also evidence for the existence of castes, with a disperser morph among males and a morphologically distinct "queen" (O'Riain *et al.* 1996, 2000b). In addition, this mating system with high rates of inbreeding leads to a genetic structure similar to insect haplodiploidy, with intra-colony relatedness coefficients as high as 0.8, which is greater than the 0.75 achieved by the haplodiploid system (Reeve *et al.* 1990). This system seems to have evolved due to high costs of dispersal, and most subordinate individuals spend their whole lives as nonbreeding colony defenders.

In Mongolian gerbils male fetuses vary in their intrauterine positions, and this variation is reflected in adult testosterone levels. Males gestated between two males (2M males) have higher testosterone levels when adults than their brothers that were gestated between two females (2F males) (Clark et al. 1992b). This intrauterine position has a major impact in the development of male sex characters and sexual behavior: 2F males have reduced bulbocavernosus muscle mass (involved in penile erection) and alterations in their copulatory and scent-marking behavior, achieving a lower reproductive success than their 2M siblings (Clark et al. 1990, 1992a). Conversely, 2F males express more paternal behavior than the 2M males (Clark et al. 1998). Among 2F males some individuals that have extremely low levels of circulating testosterone (similar to those of females) show no interest in receptive females, failing to impregnate them when they are paired. Therefore, nonbreeding 2F males are incapable of direct reproduction and are obligate helpers (Clark and Galef 2000).

blenny, Salaria pavo: Gonçalves et al. 1996, Gonçalves et al. 2005). In this example, the expression of male reproductive behavior and male secondary sex characters become dissociated from the differentiation of a functional male gonad. Classically, male sexual differentiation involves the action of androgens (e.g., testosterone), which, in a cascade of events, promote the masculinization of different body parts (see Box 7.2 on sexual differentiation in vertebrates). However, ARTs offer the possibility to gain insight into the proximate mechanisms underlying sexual differentiation, since in the parasitic tactic, gonadal maturation and spermatogenesis can be dissociated from the expression of behavioral and morphological male traits (Figure 7.1). The decoupling of different male traits in parasitic males may be achieved by different means (e.g., by variation in the local microenvironments in target tissues, as a result of differential

Box 7.2 Sex determination in vertebrates

What determines sex in an individual starts with a blueprint laid out in the genetic material organized in chromosomes, referred to as *genetic sex*. In most vertebrates, sex chromosomes contain the most important genes required for the developing gonad to differentiate according to the genetic plan into an ovary or a testis, referred to as *gonadal sex*. As the gonads develop they start to secrete hormones that will act on the urogenital system, central nervous system, and external features to promote the secondary sexual characteristics originating what we recognize from behavior and appearance as the *phenotypic sex*.

During early development two urogenital ridges along the entire length of the dorsal body wall originate from the vertebrate mesoderm; the mid portion of these ridges differentiates into a single genital ridge from which a bipotential gonad originates. The urinary and reproductive systems are therefore closely associated, and in more primitive vertebrates, they share common ducts.

In eutherian (placental) mammals, maleness is determined by the Y-chromosome being present in normal individuals. This chromosome contains one-third of the number of genes present in the X-chromosome, some inactive, and includes SRY (Sex determining Region on Y). SRY protein acts on the bipotential gonad to initiate a cascade of gene expression leading to the development of the testis (Morrish and Sinclair 2002). One of the essential factors expressed specifically in the testis differentiation pathway is SOX9, an autosomal gene also involved in cartilage and bone formation. Both SOX9 and SRY are thought to have derived from SOX3, located in the X-chromosome. As soon as a testis is formed, Sertoli cells start secreting antimüllerian hormone (AMH), which inhibits the differentiation of Müllerian ducts into female reproductive tract structures (fallopian tubes, uterus, and part of the vagina), and Leydig cells secrete testosterone, which promotes the differentiation of the Wolffian ducts into seminiferous tubules, vas deferens, and seminal vesicle. However, for the differentiation of the external genitalia (prostate, scrotum, and penis), testosterone needs to be converted to 5α -dihydrotestosterone through the action of 5α -reductase.

In the female differentiation pathway, *SRY* is absent and DAX1, the product of a gene located in the X-chromosome, is thought to inhibit *SOX9* expression and therefore inhibit the male differentiation pathway (Swain *et al.* 1998). The

expression of DAX1 itself is upregulated by WNT4, a factor that is also essential for Müllerian duct formation and steroidogenesis (Mizusaki *et al.* 2003). In the mammalian female, differentiation of the ovary and external genitalia proceeds without the intervention of sex steroid hormones, which led to the notion that female differentiation is "passive." However, it is, like the male pathway, an active process in which failure in one step can lead to partial or total phenotypic sex reversal.

Phenotypic sex reversal can happen as a result of gene duplication, deletion, inversion, or mutations, which originate a higher or lower formation of gene product. This is the concept of sex related to gene dosage (number of copies of a gene), which is thought to be the ancestral form of sex determination. For example, any of these conditions originate a female phenotype in XY individuals: absence of SRY, two copies of DAXI, one copy of SOX9, or one copy of SFI (steroidogenic factor 1, a factor required for steroidogenesis). Three copies of SOX9 in XX individuals will also originate a male phenotype. In marsupial mammals, gonadal sex is also determined by the presence of a Y-chromosome, but the development of female pouch versus male scrotum depends on X-chromosome dosage (Vaiman and Pailhoux 2000).

Sex determination mechanisms evolve rapidly, and this has resulted in the independent development of sex chromosomes throughout the vertebrates. The monotremes (egg-laying mammals) appear to have a hybrid between the mammalian XY chromosome system and the avian WZ/ZZ system (Grutzner *et al.* 2004). In birds WZ/ZZ sex chromosomes are universal (female heterogamety). Male and female heterogamety is present in reptiles, amphibians, and fish. Environmental sex determination (ESD) is common in reptiles, but it is also present in amphibians and fish. Parthenogenesis has been reported in reptiles and fish, and polygenic systems are present in several fish species (Kraak and Pen 2002).

Only mammals, except monotremes, have the master sex determining gene SRY. In other species only in medaka fish (*Oryzias latipes*) has a master sex-determining gene been found – *DMY*, related to *DMRT1* (also important in the male sex-differentiation pathway) (Matsuda *et al.* 2002, Nanda *et al.* 2002). However, it is absent in some populations of the same species and other fishes (Volff *et al.* 2003).

Other than *SRY*, it appears that most of the above factors indicated as important in mammalian sex differentiation are also present and are expressed at the appropriate time during development in nonmammalian vertebrates, which may indicate common mechanisms (Smith and Sinclair 2004). However, unlike in mammals, in birds and in other vertebrates, steroids are required for the development of the female pathway – androgens promote testicular development and estrogens ovarian development. Thus, the nonmammalian female gonad expresses aromatase, which converts testosterone to estradiol-17 β inducing its feminization (Sarre *et al.* 2004).

The most common form of ESD is through the action of incubation temperature (TSD). The temperature at



Figure 7.2 Schematic representation of the sex determination pathway in mammals.

expression of receptors or of differential levels of activity of steroidogenic enzymes that modulate the availability of the active hormone) (see Section 7.6).

7.3 PROXIMATE CAUSES OF PHENOTYPIC PLASTICITY: NEURAL AND ENDOCRINE MECHANISMS

7.3.1 Neural structural reorganization versus biochemical switching

Structural reorganization and biochemical switching have been recognized as the major mechanisms underlying behavioral plasticity (Zupanc and Lamprecht 2000). Strucwhich embryos are incubated influences the activity of steroidogenic enzymes, in particular aromatase. The inhibition of aromatase leads to the accumulation of testosterone and masculinization, while optimum temperatures for aromatase activity favor the ratio of estrogen to androgen and feminization (Pieau and Dorizzi 2004). Socially induced ESD will ultimately influence steroidogenic enzymes to promote sex change in fishes (Devlin and Nagahama 2002).

Figure 7.2 shows a schematic representation of the sex determination pathway in mammals.

tural reorganization of neural networks underlying behavior may include processes such as neurogenesis, synaptogenesis, apoptosis, and changes in the dendritic structure of neurons that lead to the differentiation of new neural circuits. These processes are not necessarily restricted to early developmental phases, since adult neurogenesis, for example, has been demonstrated to occur in a variety of vertebrates including humans (Alvarez-Buylla and Lois 1995, Zupanc 2001, Ming and Song 2005). Neural structural reorganization leads to changes in the properties of the networks and therefore in their behavioral output. Functional changes in neural networks activity may also be achieved by alterations of glia cells. For example, changes in astrocyte volume may alter the area of neuronal membrane that is juxtaposed in adjacent neurons. Therefore, glial withdrawal (which can be induced by water deprivation) could increase the area of contact between neurons, potentially leading to an increased excitability of these cells (Zupanc and Lamprecht 2000). In summary, structural reorganization can occur at different life-history stages and involves the modification of the structure of neurons and/or glial cells. As a result, behavioral changes that depend on this mechanism are expected to be slow, long-lasting, and drastic.

In contrast, biochemical switching involves the modulation of synaptic transmission within circuits that are not being rearranged. The main neuromodulators that have been identified include catecholamines, serotonin, and neuropeptides (Zupanc and Lamprecht 2000). Since neuropeptides and catecholamines can be released in a nonsynaptic fashion, they may act on larger areas of the central nervous system by diffusion, which would allow them to influence more than one behavioral system at a time. Biochemical switching is thus a mechanism that allows for reversible behavioral output and underlies faster, gradual, or transient changes (Zupanc and Lamprecht 2000). These potential neural mechanisms underlying phenotypic plasticity have a parallel in hormonal mechanisms: structural (re)organization of neural circuits can be influenced by organizational effects of hormones during well-defined, sensitive periods in the life of an individual, while biochemical switches can be driven by activational effects of hormones on central pathways underlying behavior (for a review on organizational vs. activational effects of hormones in vertebrates see Arnold and Breedlove 1985).

Therefore, it is predicted that reversible tactics that require rapid and transient changes in neural activity are mediated by biochemical switches influenced by hormones in an activational fashion, whereas fixed and sequential tactics, which involve, in the first case, an organization of the phenotype early in the development or, in the second case, a post-maturational reorganization of the phenotype, are mediated by structural reorganization of neural networks. Concomitantly, the role of hormones in the expression of the different types of tactics should differ: organizational (or reorganizational) effects should be associated with fixed and sequential tactics, activational effects with reversible tactics.

7.3.2 Organizational versus activational effects of hormones

The action of hormones, in particular sex steroids, on behavior has been classically divided into activational and organizational effects. Activational effects are transient and occur throughout the lifespan of the individual, while organizational effects are long-lasting and occur early in ontogeny, typically during a critical period of development (Arnold and Breedlove 1985). This dichotomy of sex hormone action was initially proposed by Phoenix and co-authors (1959) and assumes that activational effects act through the activation of neural circuits that are already present, whereas organizational effects require the organization of new neural circuits at critical periods during development.

The use of the dichotomy between activational and organizational effects of hormones has also been proposed by Moore (1991) as a conceptual framework for the hormonal basis of ART, and it is known as the *relative plasticity hypothesis*. The rationale behind this hypothesis is that the effects of hormones in the differentiation of alternative reproductive tactics are equivalent to their effects in primary sex differentiation (Moore 1991). Thus, by making a distinction between fixed alternative phenotypes (in which individuals adopt one of the tactics for their entire life) and

flexible alternative phenotypes (in which individuals may switch tactics during their lifetime), Moore (1991) proposed an organizational-like role for hormones in the former case and an activational-like role in the latter case. Two predictions can then be extracted from this hypothesis (Moore 1991). (1) In species with plastic ARTs, hormone levels should differ between adult alternative morphs: in species with fixed ARTs, adult hormone profiles should be similar among alternative morphs, except when morphs experience different social environments (Moore 1991). (2) In species with plastic ARTs, hormone manipulations should be effective in adults but not during early development (activational effect); in fixed ARTs hormone manipulations should be effective during early development but not in adults (organizational effect). More recently, a second generation of the relative plasticity hypothesis has been proposed (Moore et al. 1998). This revised version emphasizes the distinction between reversible and irreversible phenotypes among plastic tactics and between conditional and unconditional fixed tactics. Accordingly, the plastic, reversible tactics would be the true equivalents of activational effects of hormones, and thus, the original predictions of the relative plasticity hypothesis would only apply to this type of alternative tactic. The plastic, irreversible (i.e., sequential) ARTs would represent a post-maturational reorganization effect, in which the phenotypic outcome would be produced immediately (Moore et al. 1998). Thus, hormone differences needed to differentiate the two alternative phenotypes need not be permanent and may only be present during the transitional phase. Among the fixed ARTs, the distinction between conditional and unconditional fixed tactics has no consequences for the predictions concerning the endocrine mechanisms of ARTs, with organizational actions being predicted in both cases (Moore et al. 1998). Thus, the predictions of Zupanc and Lamprecht (2000) for the neural mechanisms underlying phenotypic plasticity and those of the relative plasticity hypothesis are in good agreement (Table 7.2).

7.3.3 Endocrine candidates: sex hormones, glucocorticoids, and neuropeptides

Sex steroids, glucocorticoids, and neuropeptides emerge as candidates to play a major role in the differentiation and maintenance of alternative reproductive morphs. As mentioned above, sex steroids have an essential role in sexual differentiation and in the control of male reproduction in vertebrates (e.g., Dixon 1998, Wilson *et al.* 2002, Nelson

ART type	Neural mechanism (Zupanc and Lamprecht 2000)	Hormonal mechanism following the relative plasticity hypothesis v.1 (Moore 1991)	Hormonal mechanism following the relative plasticity hypothesis v.2 (Moore <i>et al.</i> 1998)
Fixed	Structural organization	Organizational effect	Organizational effect (post-maturational)
Sequential Reversible	Structural reorganization Biochemical switching	Activational effect Activational effect	Organizational effect Activational effect

Table 7.2. Neural and hormonal mechanisms of alternative reproductive tactics in vertebrates

2005). In particular androgens participate in the differentiation of primary and secondary sex characters, in the expression of reproductive behavior, in the feedback regulation of the hypothalamus and pituitary, and in spermatogenesis (Nelson 2005, Oliveira 2005). These pivotal roles in reproduction make them the preferential target for studies of endocrine correlates of male ARTs. However, as discussed below, the development of male ARTs is likely to be influenced by the neuroendocrine system in addition to gonadal steroids.

Glucocorticoids play an important role as mediators of interindividual variation in social behavior. One classic example of such an effect is provided by a series of studies on the relationship between social status and cortisol levels among free-living male olive baboons (Papio anubis) in an African national park (Sapolsky 1983, Sapolsky and Ray 1989, Virgin and Sapolsky 1997). In stable social hierarchies, dominant males have lower basal cortisol concentrations than do subordinates, but these differences disappear at times of social instability when all males show elevated basal cortisol levels and suppressed cortisol responsiveness to stress (Sapolsky 1983). Moreover, within high- and lowranking males, individuals adopting different behavioral profiles also share different endocrine profiles. Among dominant males, only those with a high degree of social skill (e.g., those that are able to distinguish between threatening and neutral interactions with rivals and therefore more likely to initiate fights in the first but not in the latter case) had lower basal cortisol titers. Dominant males lacking these skills had cortisol levels as high as subordinates (Sapolsky and Ray 1989). Also among low-ranking males, a subset of individuals with high rates of consortships had higher cortisol levels than subordinates who had high rates of surreptitious copulations. This might reflect the stress experienced by the former subset of subordinates, which adopt a precocious strategy of open reproductive competition with the dominant males (Virgin and Sapolsky 1987). Overall, these studies suggest that glucorticoid profiles are associated with distinctive behavioral styles. Moreover, glucorticoids can interact with the HPG axis and thus modulate the expression of reproductive traits (Sapolsky *et al.* 2000).

Finally, studies of two forebrain neuropeptide systems may help us to understand the differentiation of ARTs: gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) and arginine vasopressin (AVP; or arginine vasotocin [AVT] in nonmammalian vertebrates). GnRH plays a central role in the control of vertebrate reproduction by orchestrating the functioning of the HPG axis (Parhar 2002) and AVP/AVT influences the expression of social behavior patterns, including courtship behavior, in a wide range of vertebrates (Goodson and Bass 2001). Since both neuropeptide systems have been reviewed in the light of ARTs (Foran and Bass 1999, Bass and Grober 2001) and will be addressed in a separate chapter in this volume (see Chapter 6), we will limit this review to the evidence for the involvement of sex steroids and glucocorticoids in ARTs in the next two sections.

7.4 SEX HORMONES AND ARTS: THE RELATIVE PLASTICITY HYPOTHESIS AND BEYOND

7.4.1 Testing the relative plasticity hypothesis: the first prediction

In order to look for associations between patterns of circulating sex hormone levels (i.e., gonadotropins, androgens, estrogens, and progestogens) and the expression of alternative reproductive morphs in the different classes of vertebrates, we have surveyed the published literature (see Table 7.3).

			Pituitary					
CLASS/Family/	Alternative	Intrasexual	tropic		I	1	Glucocorti-	
Species	phenotypes	dimorphism	hormones	Androgens	Estrogens	Progestogens	coids	References
TELEOSTEI								
Batrachoididae								
Lusitanian toadfish,	Fixed?	+	с.	KT: B > P	E2: $B = P$	$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{P}$	c. .	Modesto and
Halobatrachus				T: B = P				Canário 2003a
didactylus								
Plainfin	Fixed: type I calling	+	а,	KT: B > P	E2: $B = P$	с.	а.	Brantley et al.
midshipman,	vs. type II noncalling			T: B < P				1993b
Porichthys notatus	males							
Blenniidae								
Peacock blenny,	Sequential:	+	۵.	$KT: B > P^a$	c .	۵.	۵.	Oliveira et al.
Salaria pavo	nest-holders vs.			$T: B > P^{a}$				2001b
	female-mimic sneakers							
Rock-pool blenny,	Sequential: nest-	+	۸.	KT: B > P	<u>م</u> .	A.	с.	Oliveira et al.
Parablennius	holders vs. satellites			T: B = P				2001c
parvicornis								
Centrarchidae								
Bluegill sunfish,	Fixed: parentals vs.	+	а,	KT: B > P	A.	۰.	а.	Kindler et al.
Lepomis	sneakers and satellites			T: B = P				1989
macrochirus								
Longear sunfish,	Fixed?	+	с.	KT: B > P	A.	۰.	F: B < P	Knapp 2003
Lepomis				T: B = P				
megalotis								
Cichlidae								
Princess of Burundi,	Sequential: breeders	I	n .,	$KT: B = P^{b}$	A.	n.,	с.	Oliveira et al.
Neolamprologus	vs. helpers			T: $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{P}^{b}$				2003
pulcher								
Mozambique	Reversible: territorial	+	o.,	$_{u}KT: B > P_{u}$	с.	$_{u}P: B > P$	o.,	Oliveira et al.
tilapia,	courting vs.			T: B > P				1996, Oliveira

Table 7.3. Comparison of hormone levels between alternative reproductive tactics in male vertebrates

Oreochromis	nonterritorial female							and Almada 1008.0
St. Peter's fish,	Reversible: monogam-	I	۰.	KT: B = P	ο.	B = P	ο.	Ros et al. 2003
Sarotherodon galilaeus	ous vs. polygynous males			T: B = P				
Labridae								
Corkwing wrasse,	Fixed: territorial vs.	+	n .	KT: B > P	E2: B < F	n.,	۵.	Uglem et al.
Symphodus melops	female mimics			T: B < P				2000, 2002
Rainbow wrasse,	Sequential: initial-	+	A.	$KT: B > P^{c}$	A .	n.,	<u>م</u> ,	Reinboth and
Coris julis	phase vs. terminal-							Becker 1984
	phase males							
Saddleback wrasse,	Sequential: initial-	+	.	KT: B > P	E2: $B = F$	B = P	A.	Hourigan
Thalassoma	phase vs. terminal-			T: B = P				et al. 1991
duperrey	phase males							
Poeciliidae								
Sailfin molly,	Reversible: large,	I	A .	$KT: B = P^{b}$	n.,	<u>ი.</u> ,	۰.	R. F. Oliveira,
Poecilia velifera	courting vs. small,			T: $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{P}^{b}$				D.M.Gon-
	noncourting males							çalves, and I.
								Schlupp,
								unpuoi. data
Salmonidae				-				
Atlantic salmon,	Fixed: mature parr vs.	+	n.,	$\mathrm{KT}:\mathrm{B}>\mathrm{P}^{a}$	o.,	$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{P}^{a}$	n.	Mayer et al.
Salmo salar	anadromous males			1: B < F				1990
Scaridae								
Stoplight	Sequential: initial-	+	n.,	KT: B > P	E2: $B < F$	n.,	n .	Cardwell and
parrotfish,	phase vs. terminal-			T: B > P				Liley 1991
Sparisoma viride	phase males							
Serranidae								
Belted sunfish,	Reversible: streakers	I	n .	KT: B > P	n.,	${\rm B}\!>\!{\rm P}$	۵.	Check et al. 2000
Serranus subligarius	vs. pair spawners in a							
	simultaneous herm-							
	aphrodite							

~	
(cont.)	
\$ 7.3.	
Table	

CLASS/Family/ Species	Alternative phenotypes	Intrasexual dimorphism	Pituitary tropic hormones	Androgens	Estrogens	Progestogens	Glucocorti- coids	References
AMPHIBIA								
Bufonidae Great plains toad, Bufo cognatus	Reversible: callers vs. satellites	I	o.,	DHT: B=P T: B=P	<u>0.</u>	ο.	B: B > P	Leary et al. 200 ²
Woodhouse's toad, Bufo woodhousii	Reversible: callers vs. satellites	1	• .	DHT: $B=P$ T: $B=P$	6. ,	0.	B: B > P	Leary et al. 200 ²
Ranidae Bullfrog, <i>Rana</i> catesbeiana	Reversible: callers vs. satellites	I	0.	DHT: B < P T: B < P	0.	0.	B: B > P	Mendonça <i>et al.</i> 1985
REPTILIA								
Iguanidae Marine iguana, Amblyrhynchus cristatus	Sequential: territorial vs. satellite vs. sneaker	+	n.	T: B>P1=P2	0 .	o.	o.	Wikelski et al. 2005
Gekkonidae								
Leopard gecko, Eublepharis macularis	Fixed: males from male-biased incuba- tion temperature vs. males from female- biased incubation temperature (more sexually active and less aggressive)	+(males from male-biased incubation tem- perature with larger snout-vent length)	^.	T: B=P DH T: B=P	E2: B < P	o.	$\mathbf{B} : \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{P}$	Flores et al. 1994, Tousig- nant and Crews 1995, Crews et al. 1998
Phrynosomatidae								
Tree lizard, Urosaurus ornatus	Fixed: territorial vs. nomadic rovers/ sedentary satellites	+(dewlap color: orange with blue spot, orange)	n.	$T: B=P$ $T: P_1 < P_2$	۸.	a.	$B: B = P, P_1 > P_2$	Thompson and Moore 1992, Moore et al. 1998, Knapp et al. 2003

Side-blotched lizard, Uta stansburiana	Fixed: ultraterritorial vs. territorial vs. nonterritorial female mimics	+(throat color: orange, blue and yellow morphs)	۰.	T: $B > P_1 = P_2$	۰.	a.	۵.	Sinervo et al. 2000
Colubridae Red-sided garter snake, <i>Thammophis</i> <i>sirtalis parietalis</i>	Sequential: he-males vs. she-males	+(skin lipids)	0.	T: B <p< td=""><td>n.</td><td>o.</td><td>a.</td><td>Mason and Crews 1985</td></p<>	n.	o.	a.	Mason and Crews 1985
AVES								
Brown-headed cowbird, Molothrus	Reversible: paired vs. unpaired (EPC)	I	0. ,	T: B>P	6.	۰.	a.	Dufty and Wingfield 1986
House finch, Carpodacus	Fixed: dominant and no parental care vs.	+(plumage: dull vs. redder)	6. ,	T: B <p< td=""><td>A.</td><td>A.</td><td>o.</td><td>Duckworth <i>et al.</i> 2004</td></p<>	A.	A.	o.	Duckworth <i>et al.</i> 2004
mexicanus	subordinate and narental care							
White-throated	Fixed: dominant	+(plumage:	۵.	T: B > P	ο.	ο.,	n .	Maney et al.
sparrow, Zono- trichia albicollis	singing vs. subordinate and less	white stripe vs. tan stripe)						2005
	singing							
Pied flycatcher, Ficedula hypoleuca	Reversible: polyterri- torial vs. home-	1	LH: B>P	T: B>P	۰.	۵.	~ .	Silverin and Wingfield 1982
	cerritorial		ſ			ſ		-
Seycnelles wardler, Acrocephalus	Sequential: primary males vs. subordinate	I	۰.	1: B > F	۰.	Crommenacker et al. 2004
sechellensis	males (cooperative hreeding EGC)							
Florida scrub-jay,	Sequential: nest-	Ι	ο.	T: $B = P$	c .,	ο.	۵.	Vleck and
Aphelocoma	owners vs. non-							Brown 1999
coerulescens	nesting (EPC)							
Acorn woodpecker,	Sequential: breeder vs.	1	c. ,	T: B = P	c .	o.,	۰.	Koenig and
Melanerpes	helper (cobreeder)							Dickinson, this
formicivorus								volume
Australian magpie,	Sequential/reversible:	+(plumage		T: B1 = P1	۵.	۵.	n.	Schmidt et al.
Gymnornina ivoicen	breeding addits vs.	between agult		$BL > \Gamma L$				1991

CLASS/Family/	Alternative	Intrasexual	Pituitary tropic		L.		Glucocorti-	
Species	phenotypes	dimorphism	hormones	Androgens	Lstrogens	Progestogens	COLUS	Keterences
	nonbreeding adults vs.	and subadult	LH:	DHT: $B1 = P1$				
	breeding subadults vs.	morphs)	B1 = P1	B2 > P2				
	nonbreeding subadults		B2 > P2					
Azure-winged magpie,	Reversible: breeder vs.	1	c .,	T: $B = P$	c .,	с.	۵.,	De la Cruz et al.
Cyanopica cyanus	helper (EPC)							2003
Bell miner, Manorina	Sequential: breeder vs.	1	а,	T: B > P	c	а.	а,	Poiani and
melanophrys	helper (EPC)							Fletcher 1994
Superb fairy-wren,	Sequential: dominant	Ι	c. .	T: $B > P1 = P2$	c. .	۵.	۵.	Peters et al. 2001
Malurus cyaneus	group breeder vs.							
	paired vs. helper							
	(EGC)							
Pied kingfisher,	Sequential: breeders	I	LH: $B =$	T: $B = P2 > P1$	۵.	o.,	A .	Rever et al. 1986
Ceryle rudis	vs. primary helpers vs.		P1 = P2					
2	secondary helpers							
Harris's hawk,	Sequential: adult	+(plumage)	LH: $B =$	T: $B = P1 > P2$	E2: $B = P1$	۵.	B: $B = P1 =$	Mays et al. 1991
Parabuteo unicinctus	breeders vs. adult-		P1 > P2		= P2		P2	
	plumaged helpers vs.							
	juvenal-plumaged							
	helpers							
MAMMALIA								
Hominidae								
Human, Homo sapiens	Reversible:	1	c .,	$_{\rm s}{\rm T}:{\rm AT1}>{\rm AT2}^{\rm e}$	A .,	с.	۵.,	Gray 2003
	polygynously married Swahili men vs.							
	monogamously							
	married Swahili men							

Table 7.3. (cont.)

Chimpanzee, Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii	Reversible: dominant vs. subordinate (surreptitious matings)	I	o.	$_{\rm u}$ T: B > P $_{\rm f}$ T: B > P	۵.	o.	$_{\rm u}{\rm F};{\rm B}\!>\!{\rm P}$	Muchlenbein et al. 2004, Muller and Wrangham 2004a, 2004b
Orang-utan, Pongo spp.	Sequential: territorial flanged males (courting with long call vocalization) vs. nomadic unflanged males (forced copulations)	+(facial flanges, long, thick hair and a vocal throat sac in territorial males)	$\begin{array}{l} {}_{u}LH:\\ {}_{B}>P\\ {}_{u}FSH:\\ {}_{u}FSH:\\ {}_{B}=P\\ {}_{d}GH:\\ {}_{u}TSH:\\ {}_{B}=P\\ {}_{B}=P\end{array}$	uT: B>P uDHT: B>P	0.	۵.	$_{u}F: B = P$	Maggioncalda et al. 1999, 2000, 2002
Cercopithecidae Mandrill, Mandrillus sphinx	Reversible: social fatted males vs. solitary nonfatted males (sneak matings	+(brilliant red and blue skin in the face and fat rumps in alfa	0.	T: B>P	ο.	۵.	Δ.	Setchell and Dixson 2001
Olive baboon, Papio anubis	in group incursions) Sequential: dominant (maintain consort- ships) vs. subordinate (stolen copulations)	social mates)	0.	$T: B > P^{\ell}$	۵.	o.	$F: B > P^{\ell}$	Sapolsky 1983, Virgin and Sapolsky 1997, Ray and Scoolder 1002
Rhesus macaque, Macaca mulatta	Plastic: dominant vs. subordinate (surrepti- tious matinos)	Ι	o.,	T: B > P	0 .,	Λ.	ο.	Zapolsky 1992 Rose et al. 1971
Japanese macaque, Macaca fuscata	Plastic: dominant (multiday consortships with estrous female) vs. subordinate (forced copulations)	I	0.	$_{f}T: B = P$	0 .	ο.	$_{f}F\colon B>P$	Barrett <i>et al.</i> 2002
Cebidae Mantled howling monkey, Alouatta palliata	Sequential: dominant group mating vs. subordinate (oppor- tunistic mating)	I	ο.	$_{\rm f}{\rm T}:{\rm B}>{\rm P}$	c.	0.	۸.	Jones 1995, Zucker <i>et al.</i> 1996

CLASS/Family/ Species	Alternative phenotypes	Intrasexual dimorphism	Pituitary tropic hormones	Androgens	Estrogens	Progestogens	Glucocorti- coids	References
Tufted capuchin monkey, <i>Cebus paella nigritus</i>	Reversible: dominant (courtship followed by e multiple mounts) vs. subordinate (rapid copulation)	1	Q.	$_{\rm f}$ T: B = P	α,	o.	$^{\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{R}}}$: B = P	Lynch <i>et al.</i> 2002, Lynch Alfaro 2005
Callitrichidae Common marmoset, Callithrix jacchus	Sequential: dominant breeder vs. subordinate helper (copulation with extragroup females during intergroup encounters)	1	0.	T: B=P	0.	0.	0.	Abbott and Hearn 1978, Baker <i>et al.</i> 1999, Digby 1999
Indridae Sifaka, <i>Propithecus</i> verreauxi	Plastic: dominant (scent marking and mate guarding) vs. subordinate	I	۵.	$_{\rm f}$ T: B > P	ο.	6 .	0.	Kraus <i>et al.</i> 1999
Lemuridae Ring-tailed lemur, <i>Lemur catta</i>	Plastic: dominant vs. subordinate (group transfer?)	I	۰.	$_{\rm f} T: B > P$	٥.	۵.	۵.	Cavigelli and Pereira 2000, Gould 2005
Cricetidae Mongolian gerbil, <i>Meriones</i> unguiculatus	Fixed (intrauterine position): 2M males vs. 2F males (reduced copulatory behavior and scent marking; alloparenting)	+(reduced bul- bocavernosus muscle mass)	0.	T: B>P	0.	0 .	0.	Clark and Galef 2000

146

Table 7.3. (cont.)

Sciuridae Alpine marmot, <i>Marmota marmota</i>	Sequential: dominant territorial vs. subordinate kin vs. subordinate nonkin	I	o.	T: $B = P1 > P2$	o.	o.	GC: B=P2 > P1	Arnold and Dittami 1997
Bathyersidae Naked mole-rat, <i>Heterocephalus</i> glaber	Fixed?: colony defenders vs. dispersers	+(higher girth- to-body length and more fat in the neck region)	LH: B <p< td=""><td></td><td>o.</td><td>a.</td><td>Q.</td><td>O'Riain <i>et al.</i> 1996</td></p<>		o.	a.	Q.	O'Riain <i>et al.</i> 1996
-	Plastic: within-colony breeders vs. nonbreeders		٥.	uT: B>P	o.	o.	$_{\rm u}F:B=P$	Clarke and Faulkes 1998
Euepnanudae African elephant, Loxodonta africana	Reversible: musth vs. sexually active without musth	I	0.	$_{\rm f}{\rm EA}{\rm : B}>{\rm P}$	0.	0.	fGC: B=P	Ganswindt <i>et al.</i> 2005
Rhinocerotidae White rhino, <i>Ceratotherium</i> simum	Sequential: territorial vs. nonterritorial (satellite)	I	o.,	$_{\rm f}{\rm T}:{\rm B}\!>\!{\rm P}$	o.	o.,	o.	Rachlow <i>et al.</i> 1998
Equidae Plains zebra, Eanne hunchalli	Sequential: harem heading we hadedor	Ι	۵.	$_{\rm u}{\rm T}:{\rm B}\!>\!{\rm P}$	۰.	۵.	۵.	Chaudhuri and Gincham 1000
Equus vurneur Grevy's zebra, Equue areavoi	Sequential: territorial	I	o.,	$_{\rm u}T:B > P$	0.	۰.	n .	Chaudhuri and Ginchere 1000
Equilibrius greept Shetland pony, Eaus caballus	vo. oachteur Sequential: harem stallion vs. bachelor	1	 .	T: B > P	ο.	• ••	0. ;	Murray 1995
Misaki feral horse, Eanus caballus	Sequential: harem stallion vs. bachelor	I	۰.	T: B>P	o.,	۵.	۵.	Khalil <i>et al</i> . 1998
Przewalski horse, Equus ferus przemalski	Sequential: harem stallion vs. bachelor	I	<u>с.</u>	$_{\rm f}{\rm T}$: B > P $_{\rm f}{\rm EA}$: B > P	$_{\rm f}{\rm E2:}~{\rm B}>{\rm P}$	o.	o.	Schwarzenberger et al. 2004

CLASS/Family/	Alternative	Intrasexual	Pituitary tropic				Glucocorti-	
Species	phenotypes	dimorphism	hormones	Androgens	Estrogens	Progestogens	coids	References
Bovidae Plains bison,	Sequential: tending vs.	I		$_{\rm f}{\rm T}:{\rm B}\!>\!{\rm P}$	o.,	0 .	c.	Mooring et al.
Bison bison Bighorn sheep, Ovis canadensis	nonguarding Sequential: tending vs. coursing/blocking	+	Q.	$_{\rm f} T: B > P$	o.,	0 .	n.	2004 Pelletier <i>et al.</i> 2003
Cervidae Impala, Aepyceros melampus Viverridae	Sequential: territorial vs. bachelor	I	<u>0.</u>	T: B>P	Q.	a.	a.	Illius et al. 1983
Dwarf mongoose, Helogale parcula	Sequential: dominant breeder vs. subordinate helper	I	0.,	$_{u}T: B = P$	o.,	م.	o.,	Creel and Waser 1994, Creel <i>et al.</i> 1992
Meerkat, <i>Suricata</i> suricatta	Sequential: dominant breeder vs. subordinate helper	I	LH: $B = P$	$_{\rm f}$ T: B=P _u T: B=P	0.	م.	0.	O'Riain et al. 2000a, Moss et al. 2001
Felidae African lion, <i>Panthera</i> <i>leo</i>	Sequential: dominant vs. subordinate	+(darker mane vs. lighter mane)	۰ .	T: B>P	c.	۵.	۵.	West and Packer 2002
Canidae Gray wolves, <i>Canis</i> Intrus	Sequential: dominant vs_subordinate_helmer	I	c. .	c.	0 .	c.	$_{\rm f}$ F: B=P	Sands and Creel
African wild dog, Lycaon pictus	Sequential: dominant vs. subordinate	I	0.	$_{\rm f}{\rm T};{\rm B}\!>\!{\rm P}$	0.	o.,	$_{\rm f} {\rm F} : {\rm B} > {\rm P}$	Creel et al. 1997

Table 7.3. (cont.)

148

Phocidae Harbor seal, <i>Phoca</i> vitulina	Sequential: larger hauled-out alone vs. medium-sized with low fidelity to haul-out	Λ.		T: B=P	o.	0.	0.	Coltman <i>et al.</i> 1999
Weddell seal, <i>Leptinychotes</i> <i>weddelli</i>	Sequential: territorial vs. nonterritorial	с. 		T: B>P	۵.	o.	F: B>P	Bartsch <i>et al.</i> 1992
References: For each s given in a paper that c that dominant males o species use an alternat	species we give the referent loes not mention the ARTs f a given species have high rive mating tactic).	ce that has reported , we also cite a pap er testosterone lev	l the relati er that do els than su	ive hormone leve cuments the occu loordinates and a	ls of the alte urrence of alt n independe	rnative tactics. In ernative tactics ii nt paper suggests	t cases in which t 1 that species (e.g. 5 that subordinate	he endocrine data is ;, one paper reports e males of that same
Hormone abbreviatio testosterone; KT, kett mammals and various Hormone prefixes: u, Alternative tactic abbi copulation; EGC, extu a Testicular androgen ^b Steroid levels in fish	ns: LH, luteinizing horm otestosterone; DHT, dihyć kinds among teleost fish); urinary levels; f, fecal leve reviations: B, bourgeois ta a-group copulation. levels.	one; FSH, follicid irotestosterone; EA F, cortisol; B, corti ls; s, salivary level ctic; P, parasitic ta	e stimulat , epiandro costerone; s. ictic (P1 a	ing hormone; C ssterone; E2, est GC, antibody u nd P2 are used	jH, growth radiol; P, pr sed had high when there	hormone; TSH ogestogen (can v ter cross reactivit is more than on-	, thyroid stimul ury across taxa, e y with more than e alternative tact	ating hormone; T, .g., progesterone in one glucocorticoid. (c); EPC, extra-pair
^c In vitro gonadal pro-	duction from [¹⁴ C] T incu	bation.						

" In some species alternative tactics occur that do not match the functional classification of bourgeois vs. parasitic males, e.g., polygynously vs. monogamously married Swahili men; in these cases we have used AT1 and AT2 as abbreviations for alternative tactic 1 and alternative tactic 2. ^d Values for late summer, when GSI values peak.

^f Only present in stable hierarchies.

A clear association exists between androgen levels and the expression of one of the alternative reproductive tactics (Table 7.3). For the majority of the species, the conventional morph has higher levels of androgens than the alternative morphs, but in many other cases, there are no significant differences in androgens between the two alternative morphs, and in some cases the parasitic males may even have higher androgen levels than the bourgeois males (Table 7.3). How can such variability be explained?

Could this variability be explained by the first prediction of Moore's reproductive plasticity hypothesis – that hormone profiles should differ in plastic adult morphs but not in fixed ones?

Unfortunately, the relative plasticity hypothesis is flawed. Androgen levels not only influence behavior (and thus can be expected to play an activational role in species with plastic ARTs), but they can also be influenced by the social environment in which the animal lives (Wingfield et al. 1990, Oliveira et al. 2002, Oliveira 2004). This means that any conclusions derived from finding different levels of androgens in alternative reproductive morphs (either fixed or plastic) are suspect. Moore (1991) argued that in fixed ARTs, adult hormone profiles should be similar among alternative male phenotypes, except when alternative morphs experience different social environments (see also Thompson and Moore 1992). Therefore, positive associations, negative associations, and even the lack of an association between androgen levels and the ART type are to be expected. As a result, the study of androgen levels in species with plastic ARTs is far more informative. In fact, among plastic species androgen levels should differ between the alternative morphs, and any negative result (lack of difference) cannot be explained by differential influences of the social environment on the androgen levels of the alternative phenotypes. Thus, the most robust estimate of this prediction is to compute the percentage of plastic species in which there are no differences in circulating levels between the bourgeois and the parasitic morph. In order to make this exercise easier and to avoid potential phylogenetic bias (i.e., bias introduced by some patterns being more characteristic of some vertebrate classes than others), the raw data from Table 7.3 were reorganized into contingency tables for each vertebrate class (the data for amphibians and reptiles were pooled into a single table owing to the low number of species for which endocrine data on ARTs are available) (Tables 7.4 through Table 7.7). In these tables, the shaded background cells represent cases that support the first prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis and the white background cells

Table 7.4. Test of the first prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis in fish

	ART type	
Androgen levels	Fixed	Plastic
Bourgeois > Parasitic	Plainfin midshipman Lusitanian toadfish Bluegill sunfish Corkwing wrasse Atlantic salmon	Peacock blenny Rock-pool blenny Stoplight parrotfish Rainbow wrasse
		Saddleback wrasse Mozambique tilapia Belted sunfish
Bourgeois = Parasitic		Princess of Burundi St. Peter's fish Sailfin molly
Bourgeois < Parasitic		

Table 7.5. Test of the first prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis in reptiles and amphibians

	ART type	
Androgen levels	Fixed	Plastic
Bourgeois > Parasitic	Side-blotched lizard	Marine iguana
Bourgeois = Parasitic	Tree lizard	Great plains toad Woodhouse's toad
Bourgeois < Parasitic		Bullfrog Red-sided garter snake

represent those that reject it. The tables illustrate that by using this conservative estimate from the relative plasticity hypothesis, we cannot explain 30% of the occurrences of plastic ARTs in fish, 40% of those in amphibians and reptiles, 54.5% of the plastic ART cases in birds, and 19.4%

	ART type	
Androgen levels	Fixed	Plastic
Bourgeois >		Brown-headed
Parasitic		cowbird
		Pied flycatcher
		Seychelles warbler
		Bell miner
		Superb fairy-wren
Bourgeois =		Mexican scrub-jay
Parasitic		
		Acorn woodpecker
		Australian magpie
		Azured magpie
		Pied kingfisher
		Harris's hawk
Bourgeois <	House finch	
Parasitic		

Table 7.6. Test of the first prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis in birds

Table 7.7. Test of the first prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis in mammals

_

	Acorn woodpecker Australian magnie	
	Azured magnie	
	Pied kingfisher	
	Harris's hawk	
Demandia de Llaura frank		
Bourgeois < House finch		
Parasitic		
of mammalian plastic ARTs. This m	leans that the model can	
potentially explain over 80% of the A	ART cases in mammals,	
where sex is genetically determined	l, males are the hetero-	
gametic sex, and the expression of	their secondary sexual	
characteristics is androgen depended	ent. Among other ver-	
tebrate classes, where the mecha	nisms of primary sex	
determination vary from those pres	sent in eutherian mam-	
mals, the model loses its predictive p	oower. In birds, females	
are the heterogametic sex and th	ne expression of male	
ornaments, a typical bourgeois train	t, is, in most cases, not	Bou
androgen dependent (e.g., male bre	eding plumage: Owens	Dou
and Short 1995: but see Kimball	and Ligon 1999). In	Para
amphibians, reptiles, and fish, prin	narv sex determination	
mechanisms are more labile and one	n to influences from the	
environment such as temperature	or the social context	
(environmental sex determination	ESD) even though sex	Б
chromosomes may be present (Crey	ws 1998) For example	Bou
genetic sex determination (GSD) me	chanisms in fish which	Para
are present in approximately half the	e species that have been	
studied using exterenatical data area	uorry divorco. Thou rango	
from polygonic systems to system	wery urverse. They fallige	fact
determining factors to cov chro	mosomos with oithor	Tact
hataragemetic males (XV) or form	los (ZW) (Doulin and	bet
Namhama 2002) Interacting 1 (1)	number of creation that	oetv
INaganama 2002). Interestingly, the	number of species that	eact
display male heterogamety is twice	e the number of those	exp

	ART type	
Androgen levels	Fixed	Plastic
Bourgeois >	Mongolian	Human
Parasitic	gerbils	Chimpanzee
		Orang-utan
		Mandrill
		Olive baboon
		Rhesus monkey
		Mantled howling
		monkey
		Sifaka
		Ring-tailed lemur
		Alpine marmot
		Naked mole-rat
		African elephant
		White rhino
		Plain zebra
		Grevy's zebra
		Shetland pony
		Misaky feral horse
		Przewalski horse
		Plains bison
		Bighorn sheep
		Impala
		African lion
		African wild dog
		Harbor seal
		Weddell seal
Bourgeois =		Japanese monkey
Parasitic		Common marmoset
		Tufted capuchin
		monkey
		Dwarf mongoose
		Meerkat
Bourgeois <		
Parasitic		

h female heterogamety (Devlin and Nagahama 2002), a that could, to a degree, explain why fish appear as the ond best fit of the model. In summary, an association ween the mechanisms of sex determination operating in h animal class and the role of sex hormones on the ression of ARTs seems to be present, which in turn

suggests that differences between alternative reproductive morphs within a sex are based on the same mechanisms that generate sex differences within a species (Godwin and Crews 2002). Crews (1998) already pointed out the relationship between the sex-determination mechanism and the type of ART displayed, suggesting that species with fixed tactics should have GSD, whereas species with plastic tactics should have either GSD or ESD (but see Oliveira 2005 for a review of this issue among teleost fish yielding different results). The parallels between the processes of sex differentiation (i.e., males vs. females) and the differentiation of discrete alternative reproductive phenotypes within the same sex further support a role for sex steroids in the differentiation of intrasexual alternative phenotypes.

How can we explain species with fixed ARTs in which androgen levels differ between the alternative phenotypes? As mentioned above differences in sex hormone levels between alternative reproductive male types might not reflect different hormone profiles due to an activational effect on the expression of the bourgeois tactic, but rather might reflect the responsiveness of these hormones to the expression of the tactic itself (Thompson and Moore 1992). That is, they are a consequence and not a cause of the expression of alternative mating tactics. This can be the case if the alternative phenotypes experience different social environments, which is very likely since by definition bourgeois males defend resources to get access to mates and thus are expected to face higher levels of social challenges than parasitic males. For example, in the peacock blenny, nest-holder males show an increase in androgen levels during the breeding season that is positively correlated with an increase in sneaking attempts to which they are exposed (Oliveira et al. 2001a). In only three cases does the parasitic tactic have a higher testosterone level than the bourgeois tactic: the house finch (Carpodacus mexicanus), the bullfrog (Rana catesbeiana), and the red-sided garter snake (Thamnophis sirtalis parietalis). In the house finch, the dull and less ornamented males are dominant over redder males, but the redder males pair earlier and provide more parental care than the dull males (Duckworth et al. 2004). In addition, the higher testosterone levels found in free-living, dull males are probably the result of dull males having a higher motivation to access food resources and are not a direct cause for the differentiation of alternative phenotypes (Duckworth et al. 2004). In the bullfrog, the lower levels of androgens present in calling (bourgeois) males have been interpreted as a stress-related cost due to frequent combat to defend territories (Mendonca et al. 1985). In the red-sided

garter snake, higher androgen levels in recently emerged she-males (which is a phase through which apparently all males go after emerging from winter dormancy: Shine *et al.* 2000) can be a consequence of the twofold higher mating activity that they experience compared to conventional males (Mason 1992).

Data on progestogens are available for six species with ARTs, all of them teleosts (Table 7.3). Interestingly, progestogens are never higher in the parasitic morph than in the bourgeois morph (they are higher in the bourgeois males than the parasitic males in two species, and no differences are present in the other four species). However, the progestogen(s) measured varied from species to species. For example 17,208,21-trihvdroxy-4-pregen-3-one (17,20β21P), 17,20α-dihydroxy-4-pregen-3-one (17,20αP), and 17,20BP were measured in the Lusitanian toadfish (Modesto and Canário 2003a); 17,200P and 17,20BP were assaved in the Mozambique tilapia (Oliveira et al. 1996); 17,20B21P and 17,20BP were determined in the belted sunfish (Cheek et al. 2000); whereas only 17.20BP has been monitored in the saddleback wrasse (Hourigan et al. 1991), in the St. Peter's fish (Ros et al. 2003), and in the Atlantic salmon (Mayer et al. 1990). The available data suggest that 17,20B21P in the toadfish, 17,20BP in the saddleback wrasse, and 17.20BP in the Atlantic salmon may play a role in male reproduction (e.g., spermiation). In the Mozambique tilapia, territorial males have higher levels of both $17,20\alpha P$ and $17,20\beta P$ than nonterritorial, female-mimicking males, but only a 17,20aP increase in the plasma concentration in the presence of females when courtship behavior is expressed by the males (Oliveira et al. 1996), suggesting that 17,20aP may play a major role in spawning behavior and/or spermiation in this species. In the belted sandfish, 17,20B21P rather than 17,20BP seems to be associated with male reproductive behavior (Cheek et al. 2000). In summary, progestogens appear to be associated with the expression of bourgeois reproductive traits, but for most species it is difficult to disentangle potential effects of progestogens on male courtship behavior from effects on spermiation. It is also interesting to note that in the tree lizard, a species with fixed ARTs determined early in ontogeny (see Section 7.4.2), progesterone peaks twice during the critical period, and on both occasions the levels are bimodal at the population level, suggesting a potential involvement of progesterone on morph differentiation (Moore et al. 1998). This is further supported by the fact that approximately 90% of the individuals that received a single injection of progesterone on the day of hatching

differentiated into the bourgeois morph (Moore *et al.* 1998). Future studies should examine the role of progestogens on the expression of ARTs.

Estrogens have also been measured in alternative morphs of five teleosts and in one mammal. Among fish estradiol titers are never higher in the bourgeois morph (they are lower in two cases and equal in the other three; see Table 7.3). In contrast, fecal estrogen levels are significantly higher in stallions than in bachelor males of Przewalski horses (Table 7.3). However, it should be stressed that, in all cases, estrogen levels are almost always very low, suggesting that high circulating estrogen levels are incompatible with the expression of the bourgeois tactic, at least among teleost fish.

Finally, data are available on luteinizing hormone (LH) for seven species (four birds and three mammals). One of the cases for which an LH level is available is an interesting type of ART in which a dispersive morph has been described in naked mole-rats (see Box 7.1). Since it is not clear that the colony defenders are playing a bourgeois tactic and the dispersers a parasitic tactic, no clear prediction can be made for this case; however, it has been found that dispersers exhibit higher LH circulating concentrations than colony defenders (O'Riain et al. 1996). In the remaining six cases in which the adopted functional dichotomy bourgeoisvs.-parasitic tactic seems to be valid, LH levels are never lower in the bourgeois morph (it is higher in two cases and similar in the other four) than in the parasitic morph. In all of these cases, LH perfectly mirrors the differences in androgen levels between morphs (Table 7.3). Therefore, a direct involvement of LH in the differentiation of alternative tactics is not plausible, and the most parsimonious hypothesis for its action upon morph differentiation is through sex steroids.

7.4.2 Testing the relative plasticity hypothesis: the second prediction

As mentioned above, according to the second prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis, in species with fixed ARTs, hormone manipulations should only be effective early in development (i.e., should have organizational effects), whereas in species with plastic ARTs, the exogenous administration of hormones should be effective in adults (Moore 1991, Moore *et al.* 1998). Unlike the first prediction, the second prediction does not suffer from epistemological flaws and provides, therefore, a stronger test for the assessment of the relative plasticity hypothesis. Unfortunately, hormone

levels of alternative phenotypes have been manipulated in only 12 species (see Table 7.8 for a survey of the available literature on hormone manipulations in species with ARTs).

In only one case, the tree lizard, have the effects of early administration of androgens to males of a species with fixed ARTs been evaluated. Males treated with testosterone implants the day they hatched developed into the orangeblue morph in a significantly higher proportion than shamoperated males. Conversely, males castrated at the same age preferentially developed into the orange phenotype (Hews et al. 1994). These data support an organizational effect of androgens in the expression of tree lizard ARTs and suggest a well-defined critical period for this effect in the ontogeny of the species. Tree lizard males begin to express their color morphs between days 60 and 90 post-hatching (Moore et al. 1998). Testosterone implants on day 1 and on day 30 were effective in directing morph differentiation, while those performed on day 60 had no effect, indicating the presence of a critical period that ends between day 30 and day 60 post-hatching (Hews and Moore 1996). Another case demonstrating that early exposure to hormones manipulates the expression of ARTs is the Mongolian gerbil. In this species an intrauterine position effect has been described in which males gestated between two females (2F males) have lower testosterone levels when adults than their brothers gestated between two male fetuses (2M males) (see Box 7.1). Some of the 2F males that display exceptionally low levels of circulating testosterone (i.e., similar to those of females) do not express male sexual behavior when exposed to females in oestrus but, in contrast, overexpress allopaternal behavior. Therefore, the early exposure to androgens determines the tactic adopted by male Mongolian gerbils, with some 2F males becoming asexual and obligate helpers (Clark and Galef 2000). These two examples strongly support a straightforward organizational effect of androgens on the development of fixed alternative phenotypes.

The evidence compiled for hormone manipulations in adulthood yields much less clear results (Table 7.9). Of the 11 species that have been studied, only five support Prediction 2. Of the five supportive cases, in two of them (one reptile and one cooperatively breeding bird), the administration of testosterone to the parasitic morph of species with plastic ARTs induced a tactic switch (see Tables 7.8 and 7.9). In a third case, the inhibition of testosterone production reduced the sexual activity of juvenile males that tried to steal copulations in Soay sheep, *Ovis aries* (Stevenson and Bancroft 1995). In the other two cases, there was no effect of the administration of testosterone on the

vertebrates
in
morphs
reproductive
parasitic)
(<i>i.e.</i> ,
ılternative
adult i
no
manipulations
hormone
of
Effects
7.8.
Table 7

	adults critical period)				
	orange-blue males as				
	on the frequency of				
	and d60 had no effect		hatching)		
1996	treatments increased		days 1, 30, and 60 after		
Hews and Moore	+ (d1 and d30	Not determined	Testosterone implant (males at		
	frequency of orange-		(neonatal males)		
Hews et al. 1994	+ (increased the	Not determined	Testosterone implant		
	males as adults)				
	frequency of orange				
Hews et al. 1994	+ (increased the	Not determined	Castration (neonatal males)	Fixed	Tree lizard
		females in the territory)	injection (territorial males)		
Wikelski et al. 2005	I	+ (decrease head-bob patrolling, territory size and number of	Androgen receptor blocker + aromatase inhibitor IP		
		behaving like satellite males)	(sneaker males)		
Wikelski et al. 2005	I	territories) + (leave female groups and start	(satellite males) Testosterone IP injection		
Wikelski et al. 2005	Ι	+ (establishment of temporary	Testosterone IP injection	Sequential	KEP11LES Marine iguana
I. Schlupp, D. M. Gonçalves, and A. V. M. Canário, unpubl. data					
R. F. Oliveira,	Not determined	spend in independent nests) –	MT injections (small males)	Reversible	Sailfin molly
Oliveira <i>et al</i> . 2001e	+ (anal gland and genital papillae)	-/+ (MT treatment increases the time satellite males	KT and MT implants (satellite males)	Sequential	Rock-pool blenny
	genital papillae)	behavior but inhibits sneaking behavior)			
Oliveira et al. 2001 d	+ (anal gland and	-/+ (no effect on bourgeois	KT implant (sneakers)	Sequential	Peacock blenny
Lee and Bass 2004	+ (sonic muscle)	I	KT (type II males)	Fixed	TELEOSTEI Plainfin midshipman
References	morphology	Effects on behavior	(manipulated sex type)	phenotypes	CLASS/Species
	Effects on		Hormone manipulation	Alternative	

		Progesterone injection (neonatal males)	Not determined	+ (increased the frequency of orange-	Moore et al. 1998
Side-blotched lizard	Fixed	Testosterone implants (blue and yellow morphs)	+ (increase endurance [measured in a treadmill], activity, home- range size, and control over female territories)	01uc 111ates as auturs) -	DeNardo and Sinervo 1994b, Sinervo <i>et al.</i> 2000
AVES Ruff, <i>Philomachus</i> pugnax	Fixed	Testosterone implants (satellite males)	 – (satellite males did not express territorial behavior but increased satellite behavior) 	Not determined	D.B. Lank, unpubl. data in Rhen and Crews 2002
		Castration (independent males)	۰.	+ (lack growth of male displaying feathers)	Van Cordt and Junge 1936 in Lank et al. 1999
		Testosterone implants (females)	+ (male courtship)	+ (increase in body mass and development of male display feathers)	Lank <i>et al.</i> 1999
House finch	Fixed?	Testosterone implants (males)	+ (increased dominance)		Duckworth et al. 2004
Azure-winged magpie	Plastic	Testosterone implants (males at the beginning of the breeding season)	 – (likelihood of becoming a helper or a breeder) 	I	De la Cruz <i>et al.</i> 2003
Superb fairy-wren	Plastic	Testosterone implants (helpers)	+ (increases helper courtship behavior towards own female)	Ι	Peters et al. 2002
MAMIMALS Soay sheep, <i>Ovis aries</i>	Plastic	Inhibition of testosterone production by medroxy- progesterone acetate injections (juveniles that attempt copulations by harassing consorting pairs)	-P (reduces harassing behavior)	1	Stevenson and Bancroft 1995

For scientific names of each species and information on their taxonomy consult Table 7.3. +, transformations towards the bourgeois phenotype; -, no transformations towards the bourgeois phenotype; -/+, partial transformation towards the bourgeois phenotype; -P, inhibition of parasitic tactic.

		ART type	
Manipulation of androgen	levels in parasitic males	Fixed	Plastic
Early in development	Effective No effects	Tree lizards	
In adults	Effective	Side-blotched lizards	Marine iguanas
		House finch	Superb fairy-wren
			Soay sheep
	No effects	Plainfin midshipman	Peacock blenny
		Ruff	Rock-pool blenny
			Sailfin molly
			Azure-winged magpie

Table 7.9. Testing the second prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis (shaded cells represent cases that support the prediction)

parasitic morph of the "fixed" type species (one fish and one lek-breeding bird; see Tables 7.8 and 7.9). Of the six cases that do not support the second prediction, two correspond to positive effects of testosterone administration in "fixed" species (one lizard and one bird), and the other four to the absence of effects of testosterone administration in "plastic" species (three fish and one cooperatively breeding bird) (see Tables 7.8 and 7.9). Therefore, overall, the validity of the second prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis is only present in 50% of the species studied so far. Unfortunately, in the vertebrate taxa for which the hypothesis is probably most adequately applied, the mammals, there is only one species for which data are available (and it supports the hypothesis).

Interestingly, of all the hormone manipulations performed on vertebrates with the objective of unraveling the physiological mechanisms of ARTs, only in one case (the marine iguana) has the reversibility of the transformation from parasitic to bourgeois male in "plastic" species been tested. In the experiment, territorial males were implanted with an androgen receptor blocker (flutamide) together with an aromatase inhibitor (1,4,6-androstatrien-3,17-dione; ATD) in order to block the direct (i.e., testosterone acting on an androgen receptor) and indirect (i.e., testosterone being aromatized into estradiol, which would activate the behavior) effects of testosterone on the expression of bourgeois behavior (Wikelski et al. 2005). Treated males decreased the expression of their territorial behavior, had their territories reduced in size, and suffered a decrease in the number of females present on their territories, but they did not develop the full expression of parasitic behavior. These results

suggest that the blockage of androgens in bourgeois males can reduce the expression of bourgeois behavior but cannot induce a tactic change to a parasitic morph in a "plastic" species with sequential tactics. This conforms to the expectation that plasticity in alternative morphs should only be permissible in directions that correspond to normal sexual differentiation (i.e., parasitic males can transform into bourgeois males but not the reverse).

In summary, although the relative plasticity hypothesis provides a tentative conceptual framework for the study of the hormonal basis of ARTs and has been elegantly developed (Moore *et al.* 1998), it does not seem to apply across vertebrate taxa. One of the major reasons for this mismatch may reside in the fact that this hypothesis, derived from the organizational paradigm of mammalian sex differentiation, is not common to other vertebrate classes and, in particular, is not found in those with labile sexdetermining mechanisms.

7.4.3 Beyond the relative plasticity hypothesis: the "making of" alternative phenotypes

It is also important to be able to distinguish whether alternative phenotypes diverge only in terms of behavioral traits, or if they also differ in the expression of morphological traits. Since behavior is often more labile than morphology and anatomy, the mechanisms underlying the expression of behavioral variation are expected to be more flexible than those underlying morphological and anatomical variations. It follows that alternative reproductive tactics that only involve differences in behavior should differ in the activation of

different neural substrates but not necessarily display different hormonal profiles. In contrast, alternative reproductive phenotypes that also show a divergence in morphological traits (i.e., intrasexual polymorphisms), in which the differentiation of sexual characters between the alternative morphs needs a whole-organism control system, are expected to have different hormone profiles to account for these differences. It could be argued that differences in hormone levels should only be present at the period of the differentiation of the tactic, if their effects were to be organizational. However, there are several pieces of evidence suggesting that androgendependent traits, typical of bourgeois males, need continuous exposure to androgens to be maintained. For example, in adults androgens inhibit the shrinkage of motorneurons in the spinal nucleus of the bulbocavernosus that controls penile erection in rodents (Breedlove and Arnold 1981, Forger et al. 1992, Watson et al. 2001). Also, castration induces the regression and exogenous administration of androgens restores the development of sonic muscles in vocalizing male fish (Brantley et al. 1993a; but see Modesto and Canário 2003b). The hypothesis that androgens may play differential roles in the differences between male morphs across different phenotypic traits (i.e., behavioral, morphological, and gonadal) will be discussed below.

HORMONES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ALTERNATIVE PHENOTYPES IN SECONDARY SEX CHARACTERS

Since androgens play a major role in the induction of secondary sex characters in male vertebrates (Nelson 2005), differences in androgen levels among morphs may be of little importance in species with alternative tactics lacking major tactic-specific morphological specializations (such as the expression of male secondary sex characters in bourgeois males). Among the species displaying ARTs and intrasexual dimorphism, 100% of the fish, 66.6% of the reptiles, 75% of the birds, and 100% of the mammals (i.e., 90.9% of all studied species) displayed significant differences in circulating androgen levels, with the bourgeois morphs having consistently higher levels than those of the parasitic males (Table 7.3).

Recently, the association between the degree of phenotypic specialization of the alternative tactics and the magnitude of the difference in androgen levels between alternative male types was investigated among teleost fish (Oliveira 2005). In all species for which androgen levels are known and for which the ART involves a morphological intrasexual dimorphism (apart from differences in body size), the levels of 11-ketotestosterone (KT, the most potent androgen in fish) are higher in the bourgeois than in the parasitic male, irrespective of the type of ART displayed (Oliveira 2005). This suggests a parallel to the androgen correlates of sex-changing fish, in which androgens may play a major role in morphological differentiation during sex change but are not essential for behavioral sex change (Godwin *et al.* 1996, Grober 1998, Reavis and Grober 1999). These results, together with the data presented here, suggest a major role for androgens in the differentiation of morphological traits typical of the bourgeois tactic.

HORMONES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ALTERNATIVE PHENOTYPES IN REPRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOR

In species with reversible ARTs without morphological modifications, changes in the activity of neural pathways underlying the behavioral changes are to be expected rather than differences in androgen levels (Zupanc and Lamprecht 2000; see Section 7.3.1). This could explain, for example, the lack of differences in KT levels between polygynous and monogamous males in the St. Peter's fish (Ros et al. 2003) and between callers and satellites in toads (Leary et al. 2004). Hence, reversible ARTs lacking intrasexual dimorphisms emancipated mav have been from sexa differentiation mechanism ruled by sex hormones. In this respect, it is interesting to note that in the peacock blenny, where sneaker males mimic female courtship behavior, castrated sneakers (that mimic females) continue to exhibit female courtship (D. M. Gonçalves, J. Alpedrinha, and R. F. Oliveira, unpublished data), indicating that gonadal steroids are not crucial for the behavioral expression of the parasitic tactic in this species.

HORMONES AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN ALTERNATIVE PHENOTYPES IN GONADAL ALLOCATION

For a large number of species with ARTs, in particular among fish, the parasitic morph has relatively larger gonads, a phenomenon which has been explained by the sperm competition hypothesis (Taborsky 1998). This is intriguing from a physiological perspective since androgens are also involved in spermatogenesis. There are several possible explanations for this paradox.

 In the particular case of teleost fish, KT and testosterone (T) have different roles in the control of spermatogenesis: KT stimulates germ cell proliferation and maturation, and T is involved in the negative feedback mechanisms needed to control KT-dependent spermatogenesis. Thus, a balance between T and KT is critical for the control of spermatogenesis (Schulz and Miura 2002). A plot of the KT to T ratio as a function of the relative size of the gonad (GSI) shows that in species in which the magnitude of the ratio between bourgeois and parasitic is larger, there is a smaller difference in GSI (Oliveira 2005). This means that a higher GSI among parasitic males is associated with a lower KT: T ratio, which allows them to have larger testis without a linked expression of bourgeois male secondary sex characters and behavior (Oliveira 2005).

- (2) In the case of other vertebrates, a potential alternative explanation is differential density of gonadal receptors among morphs, so that the gonads of parasitic males may become particularly reactive to the same levels of gonadotrophic hormones when compared with those of bourgeois males.
- (3) In vertebrates direct innervation of the gonads has been demonstrated, and this might allow for an alternative route for controlling gonadal function in alternative phenotypes. In all vertebrates, both afferent and efferent neural connections between the gonad and the hypothalamus have been described, with the efferent fibers terminating on steroidogenic cells of the gonad (for references see Crews 1993). Moreover, de-innervation of the gonad causes gonadal atrophy whereas the electrical stimulation of these fibers induces variations in gonadal steroid secretion and sperm release (Demski 1987, Damber 1990). Thus, a private channel between the brain and the gonads is present that might allow for a control of gonadal activity in parasitic males independent of the systemic action of the HPG axis.

In summary, the relative importance of different physiological mechanisms for the differentiation of tacticspecific traits might vary among behavioral, morphological, and gonadal traits. If this occurs in species with ARTs, it would challenge the classic paradigm of androgens controlling, in a whole-organism fashion, the expression of the entire set of reproductive characters that distinguish each tactic.

7.5 STRESS, GLUCOCORTICOID LEVELS, AND ARTs

One of the axioms of the current ART theory is that alternative morphs have a lower competitive ability and therefore a subordinate status if in direct competition with bourgeois morphs. Dominance relationships are also known to have a differential effect on glucocorticoid (GC) levels, and for a long time it was assumed that circulating concentrations of a subordinate's GCs should be higher than those of dominant individuals and that these differences should mediate the effects of social rank on reproductive physiology (Creel 2005). This belief has led to the concept of social status as almost synonymous with stress for subordinates in a social group. This concept was built on a logical inference using three independent pieces of evidence: (a) in staged fights both winners and losers experience an increase in circulating levels of GCs, but there is a higher magnitude in the loser's response; (b) GCs suppress the HPG axis; and (c) social stress leads to the suppression of reproduction in subordinates (for references see Creel 2005). However, it has become increasingly clear that in most free-living species, either there is no difference in GC levels according to social status, or there is a trend for dominant males to have higher circulating levels of GCs than subordinates (Creel 2001, 2005, Abbott et al. 2003; however, these reviews included only bird and mammalian studies). In fact, the winner-loser effects on GC levels do not predict differences between dominant and subordinate individuals in free-living groups that conform to different social systems, and there is no parsimonious argument that allows one to predict whether dominants or subordinates are more stressed in the wild. While dominants are expected to face the stressful situation of having to fight harder and at higher rates to keep their status, subordinates, in turn, are exposed to the stress of repeated defeats (although in the wild they can often spatially avoid being exposed to dominant individuals or even take the option of dispersal) (Creel 2005). Based on a meta-analysis of rank differences in cortisol levels among primates, Abbott and co-authors (2003) proposed that two conditions should explain the relationship between social status and GC levels. According to this analysis, subordinates should have higher GC titers than dominants (1) when subjected to higher rates of stressors, either physical (e.g., food availability, exposure to predators and to pathogens, likelihood of facing aggressive challenges) or psychological (e.g., control access to resources, exposure to aggression, establish stable and predictable social relations) or (2) when they experience decreased opportunities of social support.

According to the rationale proposed by Creel (2005), in species with ARTs, the bourgeois morph, characterized by its investment in the monopolization of access to mates, should face more social challenges and therefore would be

expected to have higher circulating levels of GC than the parasitic morph. However, an analysis of Table 7.3 does not support this prediction. In fact, the three possible relationships between GC levels and ART type are present: of the 16 species studied so far, levels are higher in bourgeois males in 37.5% of the cases, are higher in the parasitic morph in 12.5% of the cases, and there are no differences in the remaining 50%. Moreover, the differences in GC levels are independent of the type of ART expressed (fixed vs. plastic; ACTUS - simulation statistics for contingency tables with low expected values -P < 0.05), indicating that the first prediction of the relative plasticity hypothesis also does not conform to the available data on GCs. However, this result should be taken with caution since in most studies only basal levels were reported. Glucocorticoids act through a dual receptor system where two receptor types are present in target tissues: type I receptors (or mineralocorticoid) and type II receptors (or glucocorticoid) (de Kloet et al. 1993). Since type I receptors have a higher affinity for glucocorticoids than type II, at baseline levels most GCs are bound to type I receptors. This receptor subtype mediates permissive actions of GCs (i.e., actions that are already present before the stressor and that prime the stress defenses of the organism). When GCs increase in response to a stressor and type I receptors become saturated, then there is a binding shift towards type II receptors, which mediate suppressive actions of GCs mainly outside the HPA axis, such as reproductive suppression (Sapolsky et al. 2000). The disruption of the HPG axis by glucocorticoids can be achieved by several different mechanisms, namely by decreasing both the hypothalamic release of GnRH, and the LH secretion from the pituitary, as well as by reducing the gonadal responsiveness to LH and the local density of LH receptors (Sapolsky et al. 2000). For a clearer picture of a potential role of GCs on ARTs, we need to look for differences in GC responses to challenges between alternative tactics and to confirm that the dual GC receptor system described in mammals is also present in the other vertebrate classes. Below we illustrate some known examples of the involvement of GCs on the expression of ARTs in different vertebrate taxa.

In the tree lizard, *Urosaurus ornatus*, two fixed reproductive phenotypes exist: territorial males display an orange dewlap with a blue spot (orange-blue males), and nonterritorial males have an orange dewlap (Moore 1991, Moore *et al.* 1998). Within the orange morph, the males may switch between a sedentary satellite tactic and a nomadic tactic, depending on the environmental conditions they



Figure 7.3 Proposed endocrine mechanism for tactic switching in the tree lizard. Males have a two-step reaction to stress. Both morphs increase their corticosterone levels in response to a stressor. However, orange-blue male testosterone levels are corticosterone resistant, while testosterone levels of orange males are sensitive to suppression by corticosterone. Therefore, orange-blue males express territorial behavior independently of exposure to stress, whereas orange males switch their tactic from satellite (with low corticosterone) to nomad (with high corticosterone) depending on the environmental conditions. (Reprinted with permission from Knapp *et al.* 2003.)

face, thus representing plastic ARTs (Moore *et al.* 1998). The corticosterone response to stress seems to be the key factor triggering this switch within the orange morph (see Figure 7.3). In harsh conditions, corticosterone levels increase causing a decrease in testosterone concentrations, which leads to a lack of site attachment (cf. DeNardo and Sinervo 1994a, b) and a concomitant switch from the satellite to the nomadic tactic (Figure 7.3). Apparently the orange-blue males are resistant to testosterone suppression by corticosterone, and thus, independently of the environmental conditions, continue to express the territorial tactic (Knapp *et al.* 2003).

In amphibians the *energetics-hormone vocalization* model has been proposed (Emerson 2001, Emerson and Hess 2001), which aims to explain transitions in vocal production (i.e., calling vs. noncalling) in anurans. It proposes that elevated levels of corticosterone due to the energetic demands of calling behavior inhibit androgen production which inhibits calling. Data are available for three anuran species with noncalling satellite males (Table 7.3). In two of these species, the Woodhouse and the Great Plains toads, although corticosterone levels are higher in the calling morph, there are no differences between morphs in androgen levels. These findings are contrary to a suppression of the HPG axis by increased levels of corticosterone in calling males and support the occurrence of direct effects of



Figure 7.4 Steroidogenic pathways illustrating the similarities in the enzymes involved in androgen and glucocorticoid metabolism.

corticosterone on vocal brain nuclei that control calling behavior (Leary et al. 2004).

Finally, a model for the differentiation of alternative phenotypes in teleost fish based on glucocorticoid-androgen interactions has been proposed by Knapp and co-workers (Knapp et al. 2002, Knapp 2003). Since the same enzymes that participate in the synthesis of KT are also involved in the synthesis (11 β -OHase = 11 β -hydroxylase) and inactivation (11 β -HSD = 11 β -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase) of GCs (see Figure 7.4), it is proposed that reciprocal competitive inhibition can regulate the activity of these enzymes (Knapp 2003). Consequently, in species with plastic ARTs, reciprocal inhibition creates the possibility that these enzymes may mediate the transduction of social into endocrine signals that will modulate the adoption of a certain ART (Knapp 2003). This model assumes that parasitic males have higher cortisol levels than bourgeois males, as a result of aggressive interactions among the two morphs. Competitive inhibition of 11B-OHase and/or 11B-HSD would vield lower levels of KT in parasitic males and result in an accumulation of T. The increased T could then be

available to the enzyme aromatase for estrogen production. Therefore, higher levels of aromatase activity are predicted in parasitic males, as has been observed in midshipman fish type II males (Schlinger et al. 1999). A potential pitfall of this model is the assumption of competition for cortisol and KT production. However, this is only expected if occurring in the same tissue (i.e., gonad or adrenals). Data on cortisol levels in teleost species with ART are only available for the longear sunfish, where parasitic males have both higher levels of cortisol and lower levels of KT than bourgeois males, suggesting that parasitic males may have a lower activity of 11β-HSD both in the interrenal glands and in the testes relative to bourgeois males (Knapp 2003). A similar model has been independently proposed by Perry and Grober (2003) to explain the social modulation of sex change in sequential hermaphroditic teleosts. This model is supported by the fact that in the bidirectional, socially induced, sex-changing goby Gobiodon histrio, a glucocorticoid responsive element has been identified in the promoter region of the aromatase gene CYP19A1 (gonadal isoform) that could allow GC to act as an upregulatory transcription factor, ultimately promoting estrogen synthesis responsible for male-to-female sex change (Gardner et al. 2005). Thus cortisol could play a pivotal role when

subordinate males change back to females as a response to the stress of competition with dominant males (Munday and Jones 1998).

In vertebrates other than the teleosts, where KT is not present, a role for these steroidogenic enzymes is still possible. In mammals 11B-HSD plays a major role at the intracellular level in regulating the availability of GC to glucocorticoid receptors. This enzyme has two isoforms with different activities. Whereas 11β-HSD2 catalyzes the irreversible inactivation of GCs, leading to the formation of 11-keto-steroids (i.e., cortisone from cortisol and 11dehydrocorticosterone from corticosterone), 11B-HSD1 can promote both the inactivation or the activation (by reduction of the 11-ketosteroids) of GCs (de Kloet et al. 1998, Sapolsky et al. 2000). In Levdig cells, 11β-HSD activity modulates the availability of intracellular GC to the type II receptors that in turn inhibit testosterone production (Gao et al. 1996a, b). Therefore, differential expression of the two isoforms in different tissues between alternative morphotypes can be a mechanism that explains intrasexual variation in the expression of reproductive traits. The lizard, anuran, and teleost examples illustrate the fact that GCs seem to act in the expression of alternative tactics, but their exact role may depend on the social systems and on particular GC mechanisms present in different taxa (e.g., the duality of GC receptors present in mammals).

7.6 BEYOND HORMONE PROFILES: FOCUSING ON TARGET TISSUES

The decoupling of different male traits in alternative reproductive phenotypes may be achieved by mechanisms other than differences in hormone levels, namely by varying the local microenvironment in the different target tissues. This could result from differential expression of receptors or differential levels of activity of catabolic enzymes that modulate the availability of the active hormone to specific targets (e.g., 11B-OHase and 11B-HSD, which metabolize testosterone into KT, are key steps in the expression of male secondary sex characters, in spermatogenesis, and in the modulation of the expression of reproductive behavior in male teleosts: Borg 1994). This focus on target tissues, when studying the mechanisms of intrasexual variation in reproduction, has rarely been used. One rare example of such an approach is a study on the relative levels of brain steroid receptors between alternative reproductive phenotypes in the protogynous wrasse Halichoeres trimaculatus. In this species it was found that by using competitive reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction, the levels of androgen receptor (AR) transcripts were significantly higher in the brain of terminal-phase males (bourgeois tactic) than in initial-phase males (parasitic tactic) (Kim et al. 2002). No other significant differences in gene expression were observed, either for AR in the gonads or for estrogen receptor (ER) in the brain and in the gonads. Thus, by regulation of the expression of AR in specific tissues (by varying AR density in different tissues such as brain vs. gonad) of bourgeois males (in this case terminal-phase males), the sensitivity to circulating androgen levels in specific targets (the brain) can be increased, and the effects of androgens compartmentalized (Ketterson and Nolan 1999). This mechanism hypothetically makes it possible to activate the expression of an androgen-dependent reproductive behavior in bourgeois males without having the associated costs of increasing spermatogenesis or expressing a sex character, since the androgen action can be independently modulated at each compartment (brain vs. gonad vs. morphological secondary sex character).

Another level at which the availability of steroid hormones to target tissues can be differentially modulated between alternative phenotypes is through steroid-binding globulins (SBGs). SBGs can regulate the availability of circulating steroids to target tissues, since only the free (unbound) fraction is biologically active. To our knowledge, there is only one published study in vertebrates that documents differences in binding capacity of an SBG among alternative morphs (Jennings et al. 2000). In the tree lizard two SBGs have been identified: one with a high affinity to androgens and estradiol (i.e., a typical sex-hormonebinding globulin), and another with a high affinity to androgens, progesterone, and corticosterone, thus named androgen-glucocorticoid-steroid-binding globulin (AGBG: Jennings et al. 2000). Whereas the capacity of the former SBG does not differ between the two morphs, the AGBG capacity is much larger in the orange-blue males, resulting in higher levels of free (i.e., unbound) corticosterone in the orange morph (Jennings et al. 2000). Consequently, testosterone levels in the orange morph are more sensitive to negative feedback by corticosterone, especially during periods of stress (e.g., staged male-male encounters: Knapp and Moore 1996, 1997). Thus, at least for tree lizards, SBGs can act as mediators of the environmental effects on the differentiation and expression of alternative morphs. Further studies focusing on target tissues are thus a major avenue for future research in this area.

7.7 ARTS IN THE GENOMICS ERA: A HOLISTIC APPROACH TO THE PROXIMATE MECHANISMS OF ARTS

Functional genomics tools now provide a new approach to understanding the proximate mechanisms of ARTs. Using microarray technology, the activity of large sets of genes (thousands) can be monitored simultaneously in key tissues (e.g., brain, gonads). It is therefore possible to identify genes and regulatory networks that are consistently upregulated or downregulated in each morph. These differentially expressed genes are then taken as likely candidates involved in the expression of the alternative morphotypes (Hofmann 2003). Only two studies have been published that used microarray techniques to study alternative phenotypes. In the honeybee (Apis mellifera), workers socially regulate the division of labor, with younger individuals acting as hive workers and older individuals as foragers. The transition between these two alternative (sequential) phenotypes is associated with differential gene expression in 39% of the approximately 5500 genes tested (Whitfield et al. 2003), indicating a link between different profiles of brain gene expression and the occurrence of behavioral plasticity. In a second study, the only one of a vertebrate species, gene expression profiles were compared between sneaker males and immature juveniles (of the same age) of the Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar (Aubin-Horth et al. 2005). Males that will reproduce as sneakers do not migrate to the sea and attain sexual maturity earlier (1-3 years old) than migratory males that return later to the breeding grounds as large, anadromous individuals (3-7 years old) (Fleming 1998). Thus, the immature males represent the anadromous phenotype before migration, and they are the same age as the sneakers (in order to avoid age-related differences in gene expression). A differential expression of 15% of the 2917 genes tested has been detected between the sneaker and the juvenile immature males (Aubin-Horth et al. 2005). Most of the upregulated genes in sneakers are associated with reproduction and associated processes (e.g., gonadotropins, growth hormone, prolactin, and POMC genes), and the upregulated genes in immature males are mainly associated with somatic growth (e.g., genes involved in transcription regulation and protein synthesis, folding, and maturation). These differences reflect, at the cellular level, the life history trade-off between reproduction and growth that is found in these two alternative phenotypes (Aubin-Horth et al. 2005). Interestingly, genes involved in neural

plasticity (e.g., genes coding for synaptic function and for cell-adhesion glycoproteins that have been implicated in memory formation) and neural signaling (i.e., genes coding for nitric oxide synthesis, a neurotransmitter involved in the regulation of neuropeptide action) were upregulated in sneakers suggesting that the expression of this tactic might be particularly demanding at the level of cognition (Aubin-Horth *et al.* 2005). This approach not only allows us to confirm predictions of differential gene expression between alternative phenotypes, in processes that are a priori expected to differ between alternative morphs (e.g., reproduction vs. growth), but it enables the detection of differences in gene expression between morphs in unsuspected biological processes (e.g., neural plasticity).

7.8 DIFFERENTIAL COSTS IN ENDOCRINE-MEDIATED ARTs

The study of the physiological mechanisms underlying the expression of ARTs may also shed light on the evolutionary mechanisms involved, since from a functional point of view, the potential benefits of high androgen levels for the fitness of the individuals adopting the bourgeois tactic have to outweigh the costs associated with keeping those levels high for long periods. Androgens facilitate the physiology and behavior related to high intra- and intersexual competition typical of the bourgeois tactic. The required extra energetic resources needed for the expression of exaggerated secondary sexual characters and agonistic behavior patterns might have consequences for the allocation of energy to other functions. Especially when animals are constrained in their opportunities to increase energy uptake or when gains in reproduction are high, it may pay to evolve a mechanism that facilitates the expression of sexual traits, while downregulating other energetically expensive functions. This trade-off might explain why, in many species, androgens seem to suppress immunity (Folstad and Karter 1992, Wedekind and Folstad 1994). There is evidence indicating that humoral and cellular immunocompetence are costly (e.g., Martin et al. 2003) and trade off with reproduction (Sheldon and Verhulst 1996, Deerenberg et al. 1997, Norris and Evans 2000, Cichoń et al. 2001).

Few studies have addressed the differential costs in immunocompetence for alternative morphs due to different hormonal profiles of alternative tactics. In the corkwing wrasse (*Symphodus melops*), despite the fact that sneaker males differ from nest-holders in androgen levels (Uglem *et al.* 2002), no relationship has been found between male reproductive tactics and leukocyte count (Uglem *et al.* 2001). In ruffs, there are no differences among morphs in humoral immunity but territorial males have higher cell-mediated immunity than satellites (Lozano and Lank 2004).

We have recently started to address this issue using the rock-pool blenny (Parablennius parvicornis) and the peacock blenny (Salaria pavo). In both species, bourgeois males exhibit both parental and territorial behavior, which does not allow them to forage far from their nest sites. In contrast, parasitic males do not have such constraints on energy uptake during the breeding season, and, as a result, nestholder males of both species suffer a dramatic decrease in body condition not experienced by parasitic males (Goncalves and Almada 1997). We therefore tested whether the expression of alternative male tactics has consequences at the level of immunocompetence in these two blennies. In salmonids, androgen treatment decreases antibody production by lymphocytes and may even kill them by apoptosis (Slater et al. 1995, Slater and Schreck 1997). Interestingly, a specific androgen receptor has been detected in these leukocytes (rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss, and chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytsha: Slater et al. 1995, Slater and Schreck 1998). We therefore focused our studies on the relative number of lymphocytes (i.e., leukocytes responsible for the production of specific antibodies)



Figure 7.5 Preliminary results on the relationship between lymphocyte counts, total length, and alternative reproductive tactics in the peacock blenny. SN, sneaker males; NH, nest-holder males. (A. F. H. Ros, unpublished data.)

and on antibody production in response to a challenge with a nonpathogenic antigen. In accordance with expectation, lymphocyte count (in both species) and antibody responsiveness (in the rock-pool blenny) were found to be higher in parasitic males than in bourgeois males (Ros *et al.* 2006; A. F. H. Ros and R. F. Oliveira, unpublished data) (Figure 7.5). This suggests that alternative morphs differ in their capacity to mount "specific" immune responses. Moreover, since lymphocyte numbers are negatively correlated to body size (Figure 7.5), and since competitive ability of the males increases with body size (Oliveira *et al.* 2000), it is plausible that in larger animals, relatively more energy is traded off with immunity than in smaller animals.

7.9 CONCLUSIONS AND PROSPECTS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH

We have summarized the effects on ARTs of different hormones (mainly androgens and glucocorticoids) at both the organizational and the activational levels. However, these effects vary from species to species in a fashion that is not consistent with the type of ART expressed, as predicted by the relative plasticity hypothesis. In particular, in the case of sex steroids, it is conceivable that the expression of a given tactic requires that androgens reach a threshold level for the expression of the bourgeois traits. But, above that threshold, further variations in androgen levels are not associated with the expression of the tactic and may merely reflect the social environment faced by individuals following different tactics. We have also shown that androgens are more relevant for the differentiation of morphological traits than of behavioral traits, which implies that differences in androgen levels between alternative tactics are more likely when the ART involves an intrasexual dimorphism. This difference between ARTs with and without associated variation in the expression of morphological traits is thus a point that should not be neglected in future studies. Another point that needs to be stressed here is that in order to understand the mechanisms of ARTs more research effort is needed focusing on the processes of hormone action at the target tissues, since they may vary between alternative tactics. Most of the work conducted so far is based on correlations of circulating levels of hormones in individuals following alternative tactics and on hormone manipulations in different adult morphs.

At the conceptual level, the views on the role that hormones play in the control of behavior have been changing with time. Two major changes have occurred in

recent years. Hormones have been seen classically as causal agents of behavior of the type one-hormone-one-behavior relationship. This view has been supported mainly by studies of castration and hormone-replacement therapy that showed that a behavior was abolished by castration and restored by exogenous administration of androgens (Nelson 2005). Currently a probabilistic approach to the effects of hormones on behavior has been adopted and hormones are seen as facilitators of behavior rather than as determinant factors (Simon 2002). Accordingly, hormones may increase or decrease the probability of the expression of a given behavior by acting as neuromodulators on the neural pathways underlying that behavioral pattern. Second, there has been a recognition that the social environment feeds back to influence hormone levels (Wingfield et al. 1990, Oliveira 2004), which is seen as an adaptive mechanism through which individuals may adjust their motivation according to the social context they are facing. This indicates a two-way type of interaction between hormones and behavior. Accordingly, hormones (e.g., androgens) are viewed as playing a key role as endocrine mediators of the effects of social context on the expression of social behavior. These new views of the role hormones play in the control of behavior should be incorporated in future studies on the endocrine basis of ARTs.

Finally, the strengths of the comparative approach in understanding the proximate mechanisms of intrasexual variation in reproductive behavior should be stressed. It is a valuable tool for various reasons. First, it promotes the development of a conceptual framework to explain these phenomena that is not species centered. One major problem in this area is that a lot of research effort has been invested in only a reduced number of species, so that the information available for these few species has great detail but tends to be extrapolated as valid to the vertebrates as a whole. Therefore, the collection of data on different species exhibiting alternative tactics contributes to the awareness that similar functional phenomena may have different underlying mechanisms and promotes the search for commonalities among species. In turn, these prompt the generation of hypotheses that organize the observed variation and thus contribute to the development of a framework that explains the evolution of proximate mechanisms underlying alternative tactics.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the people who have collaborated in the studies that have been conducted in both laboratories on ARTs and a number of colleagues who have provided thoughtful discussions on this topic. They certainly have helped to shape our views on this subject. They are in alphabetical order: Vitor Almada, João Alpedrinha, Eduardo Barata, Luis Carneiro, Inês Domingues, Teresa Fagundes, David Gonçalves, Emanuel Gonçalves, Matthew Grober, João Saraiva, and Mariana Simões. We thank also Jane Brockmann, Michael Taborsky, and Rosemary Knapp for their comments on earlier versions of this manuscript that contributed to its improvement. The research from the RFO laboratory described in this review was supported by a series of grants from the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT). The writing of this chapter was directly funded both by the Pluriannual Program of FCT (UlandD 331/2001) and by the FCT research grant POCTI/BSE/ 38395/2001. Finally, we would like to express our gratitude to our families for tolerating our love of science and blennies.

References

- Abbott, D. H. and Hearn, J. P. 1978. Physical, hormonal and behavioral aspects of sexual development in the marmoset monkey, *Callithrix jacchus. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 53, 155–166.
- Abbott, D. H., Keverne, E. B., Bercovitch, F. B., et al. 2003. Are subordinates always stressed? A comparative analysis of rank differences in cortisol levels among primates. *Hormones* and Behavior 43, 67–82.
- Alvarez-Buylla, A. and Lois, C. 1995. Neuronal stem cells in the brain of adult vertebrates. *Stem Cells* 13, 263–272.
- Arnold, A. B. and Breedlove, S. M. 1985. Organizational and activational effects of sex steroids on brain and behavior: a reanalysis. *Hormones and Behavior* 19, 469–498.
- Arnold, W. and Dittami, J. 1997. Reproductive suppression in male alpine marmots. *Animal Behaviour* 53, 53–66.
- Aubin-Horth, N., Landry, C. R., Letcher, B. H., and Hofmann, H. 2005. Alternative life histories shape brain gene expression profiles in males of the same population. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 272, 1655–1662.
- Baker, J. V., Abbott, D. H., and Saltzman, W. 1999. Social determinants of reproductive failure in male common marmosets housed with their natal family. *Animal Behaviour* 58, 501–513.
- Barrett, G. M., Shimizu, K., Bardi, M., Asaba, S., and Mori, A. 2002. Endocrine correlates of rank, reproduction, and female-directed aggression in male Japanese macaques (*Macaca fuscata*). Hormones and Behavior 42, 85–96.

Bartsch, S. S., Johnston, S. D., and Siniff, D. B. 1992. Territorial behaviour and breeding frequency of male Weddell seals (*Leptinychotes weddelli*) in relation to age, size, and concentration of serum testosterone and cortisol. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 70, 680–692.

Bass, A. H. and Grober, M. S. 2001. Social and neural modulation of sexual plasticity in teleost fish. *Brain*, *Behavior and Evolution* 57, 293–300.

Bennett, N. C. and Faulkes, C. G. 2000. African Mole-Rats: Ecology and Eusociality. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Borg, B. 1994. Androgens in teleost fishes. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C 109, 219–245.

Bowen, B. S., Koford, R. R., and Brown, J. L. 1995. Genetic evidence for undetected alleles and unexpected parentage in the gray-breasted jay. *Condor* 97, 503–511.

Brantley, R. K., Marchaterre, M. A., and Bass, A. H. 1993a. Androgen effects on vocal muscle structure in a teleost fish with inter- and intra-sexual dimorphisms. *Journal of Morphology* 216, 305–318.

Brantley, R. K., Wingfield, J. C., and Bass, A. H. 1993b. Sex steroid levels in *Porichthys notatus*, a fish with alternative reproductive tactics, and a review of the hormonal bases for male dimorphism among teleost fishes. *Hormones and Behavior* 27, 332–347.

Breedlove, S. M. and Arnold, A. P. 1981. Sexually dimorphic motor nucleus in the rat lumbar spinal cord: response to adult hormone manipulation, absence in androgeninsensitive rats. *Brain Research* 225, 297–307.

Brockmann, H. J. 2001. The evolution of alternative strategies and tactics. Advances in the Study of Behavior 30, 1–51.

Cardwell, J. R. and Liley, N. R. 1991. Hormonal control of sex and color change in the stoplight parrotfish, *Sparisoma* viride. General and Comparative Endocrinology 81, 7–20.

Caro, T. M. and Bateson, P. 1986. Organization and ontogeny of alternative tactics. *Animal Behaviour* 34, 1483–1499.

Cavigelli, S. A. and Pereira, M. E. 2000. Mating season aggression and fecal testosterone levels in male ring-tailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*). *Hormones and Behavior* 37, 246–255.

Chaudhuri, M. and Ginsberg, J. R. 1990. Urinary androgen concentrations and social status in two species of free ranging zebra (*Equus burchelli* and *E. grevyi*). *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 88, 127–133.

Cheek, A. O., Thomas, P., and Sullivan, C. V. 2000. Sex steroids relative to alternative mating behaviors in the simultaneous hermaphrodite *Serranus subligarius* (Perciformes: Serranidae). *Hormones and Behavior* 37, 198–211. Cichoń, M., Dubiec, A., and Chadzińska, M. 2001. The effect of elevated reproductive effort on humoral immune function in collared flycatcher females. *Acta Oecologica* 22, 71–76.

Clark, M. M. and Galef Jr., B. C. 2000. Why some male Mongolian gerbils may help at the nest: testosterone, asexuality and alloparenting. *Animal Behaviour* 59, 801–806.

Clark, M. M., Malenfant, S. A., Winter, D. A., and Galef Jr., B. G. 1990. Fetal uterine position affects copulation and scent marking by adult gerbils. *Physiology and Behavior* 47, 301–305.

Clark, M. M., Tucker, L., and Galef Jr., B. G. 1992a. Stud males and dud males: intrauterine position effects on the success of male gerbils. *Animal Behaviour* 43, 215–221.

Clark, M. M., vom Saal, F. S., and Galef Jr., B. G. 1992b. Fetal intrauterine position correlates with endogenous testosterone levels in adult male Mongolian gerbils. *Physiology and Behavior* 51, 957–960.

Clark, M. M., Vonk, J. M., and Galef Jr., B. G. 1998. Intrauterine position, parenting and nest-site attachment in male Mongolian gerbils. *Developmental Psychobiology* 32, 177–181.

Clarke, F. M. and Faulkes, C. G. 1998. Hormonal and behavioural correlates of male dominance and reproductive status in captive colonies of the naked mole-rat, *Heterocephalus glaber. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 47, 83–91.

Coltman, D. W., Bowen, W. D., and Wright, J. M. 1999. A multivariate analysis of phenotype and paternity in male harbor seals, *Phoca vitulina*, at Sable Island, Nova Scotia. *Behavioral Ecology* 10, 169–177.

Conrad, K. F., Clarke, M. F., Robertson, R. J., and Boag, P. T. 1998. Paternity and the relatedness of helpers in the cooperatively breeding bell miner. *Condor* 100, 343–349.

Creel, S. 2001. Social dominance and stress hormones. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16, 491–497.

Creel, S. 2005. Dominance, aggression, and glucocorticoid levels in social carnivores. *Journal of Mammalogy* 86, 255–264.

Creel, S. and Creel, N. M. 2002. *The African Wild Dog: Behavior, Ecology and Evolution.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Creel, S. and Waser, P. M. 1994. Inclusive fitness and reproductive strategies in dwarf mongooses. *Behavioral Ecology* 5, 339–348.

Creel, S., Creel, N., Wildt, D., and Monfort, S. L. 1992. Behavioural and endocrine mechanisms of reproductive suppression in Serengeti dwarf mongooses. *Animal Behaviour* 43, 231–245.

Creel, S., Creel, N., Mills, M., and Monfort, S. 1997. Rank and reproduction in cooperatively breeding African wild dogs: behavioral and endocrine correlates. *Behavioral Ecology* 8, 298–306.

- Crews, D. 1993. The organizational concept and vertebrates without sex chromosomes. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* **42**, 202–214.
- Crews, D. 1998. On the organization of individual differences in sexual behavior. *American Zoologist* 38, 118–132.
- Crews, D., Sakata, J., and Rhen, T. 1998. Developmental effects on intersexual and intrasexual variation in growth and reproduction in a lizard with temperature-dependent sex determination. *Journal of Comparative Physiology C* 119, 229–241.
- Crommenacker, J. van de, Richardson, D. S., Groothuis, T. G. G., *et al.* 2004. Testosterone, cuckoldry risk and extra-pair opportunities in the Seychelles warbler. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* **271**, 1023–1031.
- Damber, J. E. 1990. The effect of guanethidine treatment of testicular blood flow and testosterone production in rats. *Experientia* 46, 486–487.
- Dawson, J. W. and Mannan, W. 1991. Dominance hierarchies and helper contributions in Harris' hawks. *Auk* 108, 649–660.
- Deerenberg, C., Apanius, V., Daan, S., and Bos, N. 1997. Reproductive effort decreases antibody responsiveness. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 264, 1021–1029.
- De Kloet, E. R., Oitzl, M. S., and Joels, M. 1993. Functional implications of brain corticosteroid receptor diversity. *Cellular and Molecular Neurobiology* 13, 433–455.
- De Kloet, E. R., Vreugdenhil, E., Oitzl, M. S., and Joëls, M. 1998. Brain corticosteroid receptor balance in health and disease. *Endocrine Reviews* 19, 269–301.
- de la Cruz, C., Solís, E., Valencia, J., Chastel, O., and Sorci, G. 2003. Testosterone and helping behavior in the azure-winged magpie (*Cyanopica cyanus*): natural covariation and an experimental test. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 55, 103–111.
- Demski, L. 1987. Diversity in reproductive patterns and behavior in fishes. In D. Crews (ed.) *Psychobiology of Reproductive Behavior: An Evolutionary Perspective*, pp. 1–27. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- DeNardo, D. F. and Sinervo, B. 1994a. Effects of corticosterone on activity and home-range size of free-ranging male lizards. *Hormones and Behavior* 28, 53–65.

- DeNardo, D. F. and Sinervo, B. 1994b. Effects of steroid hormone interactions on activity and home-range size of male lizards. *Hormones and Behavior* 28, 273–287.
- Devlin, R. H. and Nagahama, Y. 2002. Sex determination and sex differentiation in fish: an overview of genetic, physiological, and environmental influences. *Aquaculture* 208, 191–364.
- Dierkes, P., Taborsky, M., and Kohler, U. 1999. Reproductive parasitism of broodcare helpers in a cooperatively breeding fish. *Behavioral Ecology* 10, 510–515.
- Digby, L. J. 1999. Sexual behavior and extragroup copulations in a wild population of common marmosets (*Callithrix jacchus*). *Folia Primatologica* **70**, 136–145.
- Dixon, A. F. 1998. Primate Sexuality: Comparative Studies of the Prosimians, Monkeys, Apes, and Humans. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Duckworth, R. A., Mendonça, M. T., and Hill, G. E. 2004. Condition-dependent sexual traits and social dominance in the house finch. *Behavioral Ecology* 15, 779–784.
- Dufty Jr., A. M. and Wingfield, J. C. 1986. The influence of social cues on the reproductive endocrinology of male brown-headed cowbirds: field and laboratory studies. *Hormones and Behavior* 20, 222–234.
- Emerson, S. B. 2001. Male advertisement calls: behavioral variation and physiological processes. In M. J. Ryan (ed.) *Anuran Communication*, pp. 36–44. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Emerson, S. B. and Hess, D. I. 2001. Glucocorticoids, androgens, testis mass, and the energetics of vocalizations in breeding male frogs. *Hormones and Behavior* 39, 59–69.
- Faulkes, C. G. and Abbott, D. H. 1997. Proximate mechanisms regulating a reproductive dictatorship: a single dominant female controls male and female reproduction in colonies of naked mole-rats. In N. G. Solomon and J. A. French (eds.) *Cooperative Breeding in Mammals*,

```
pp. 302-334. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
```

- Faulkes, C. G. and Bennett, N. C. 2001. Family values: group dynamics and social control of reproduction in African mole-rats. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 16, 184–190.
- Fleming, I. A. 1998. Pattern and variability in the breeding system of Atlantic salmon, with comparisons to other salmonids. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 55, 59–76.
- Flores, D., Tousignant, A., and Crews, D. 1994. Incubation temperature affects the behavior of adult leopard geckos (*Eublepharis macularius*). *Physiology and Behavior* 55, 1067–1072.

- Folstad, I. and Karter, A. J. 1992. Parasites, bright males, and the immunocompetence handicap. *American Naturalist* 139, 603–622.
- Foran, C. M. and Bass, A. H. 1999. Preoptic GnRH and AVT: axes for sexual plasticity in teleost fish. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 116, 141–152.
- Forger, N. G., Fishman, R. B., and Breedlove, S. M. 1992. Differential effects of testosterone metabolites upon the size of sexually dimorphic motoneurons in adulthood. *Hormones* and Behavior 26, 204–213.
- Ganswindt, A., Rasmussen, H. B., Heistermann, M., and Hodges, J. K. 2005. The sexually active states of freeranging male African elephants (*Loxodonta africana*): defining musth and non-musth using endocrinology, physical signals, and behavior. *Hormones and Behavior* 47, 83–91.
- Gao, H. B., Ge, R. S., Lakshmi, V., Marandici, A., and Hardy, M. P. 1996a. Hormonal regulation of oxidative and reductive activities of 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase in rat Leydig cells. *Endocrinology* 138, 156–161.
- Gao, H. B., Shan, L. X., Monder, C., and Hardy, M. P. 1996b. Supression of endogenous corticosterone levels *in vivo* increases the steroidogenic capacity of purified rat Leydig cells *in vitro*. *Endocrinology* **137**, 1741–1718.
- Gardner, L., Anderson, T., Place, A. R., Dixon, B., and Elizur, A. 2005. Sex change strategy and the aromatase genes. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 94, 395–404.
- Girman, D. J., Mills, M. G. L., Geffen, E., and Wayne, R. K. 1997. A genetic analysis of social structure and dispersal in African wild dogs (*Lycaon pictus*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 40, 187–198.
- Godwin, J. and Crews, D. 2002. Hormones, brain and behavior in reptiles. In D. W. Pfaff, A. P. Arnold, A. M. Etgen, S. E. Farbach, and R. T. Rubin (eds.) *Hormones, Brain and Behavior*, vol. 2, pp. 649–798. New York: Academic Press.
- Godwin, J., Crews, D., and Warner, R. R. 1996. Behavioural sex change in the absence of gonads in a coral reef fish. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 263, 1683–1688.
- Gonçalves, D. M., Matos, R., Fagundes, T., and Oliveira, R. F. 2005. Do bourgeois males of the peacock blenny, *Salaria pavo*, discriminate females from female-mimicking sneaker males? *Ethology* 111, 559–572.
- Gonçalves, E. J. and Almada, V. C. 1997. Sex differences in resource utilization by the peacock blenny. *Journal of Fish Biology* 51, 624–633.

- Gonçalves, E. J., Almada, V. C., Oliveira, R. F., and Santos, A. J. 1996. Female mimicry as a mating tactic in males of the blenniid fish *Salaria pavo. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK* 76, 529–538.
- Goodson, J. and Bass, A. H. 2001. Social behaviour functions and related anatomical characteristics of vasotocin/ vasopressin systems in vertebrates. *Brain Research Reviews* 35, 246–265.
- Gould, L. 2005. Variation in fecal testosterone levels, intermale aggression, dominance rank and age during mating and post-mating periods in wild adult male ringtailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*). *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* Suppl. **40**, 108.
- Gray, P. B. 2003. Marriage, parenting and testosterone variation among Kenyan Swahili men. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 122, 279–286.
- Griffin, A. S., Pemberton, J. M., Brotherton, P. N. M., et al. 2003. A genetic analysis of breeding success in the cooperative meerkat (*Suricata suricatta*). *Behavioral Ecology* 14, 472–480.
- Grober, M. S. 1998. Socially controlled sex change: integrating ultimate and proximate levels of analysis. *Acta Ethologica* 1, 3–17.
- Gross, M. R. 1996. Alternative reproductive strategies and tactics: diversity within sexes. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 11, 92–98.
- Grutzner, F., Rens, W., Tsend-Ayush, E., et al. 2004. In the platypus a meiotic chain of ten sex chromosomes shares genes with the bird Z and mammal X chromosomes. *Nature* 432, 913–917.
- Haig, S. M., Walters, J. R., and Plissner, J. H. 1994. Genetic evidence for monogamy in the cooperatively breeding redcockaded woodpecker. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 34, 295–303.
- Haydock, J., Koenig, W. D., and Stanback, M. T. 2001. Shared parentage and incest avoidance in the cooperatively breeding acorn woodpecker. *Molecular Ecology* 10, 1515–1525.
- Hews, D. K. and Moore, M. C. 1996. A critical period for the organization of alternative male phenotypes of tree lizards by exogenous testosterone? *Physiology and Behavior* 60, 425–429.
- Hews, D. K., Knapp, R., and Moore, M. C. 1994. Early exposure to androgens affects adult expression of alternative male types in tree lizards. *Hormones and Behavior* 28, 96–115.
- Hofmann, H. A. 2003. Functional genomics of neural and behavioral plasticity. *Journal of Neurobiology* 54, 272–282.

- Hourigan, T. F., Nakamura, N., Nagahama, Y., Yamauchi, K., and Grau, E. G. 1991. Histology, ultrastructure, and in vitro steroidogenesis of the testes of two male phenotypes of the protogynous fish, *Thalassoma duperrey* (Labridae). *General* and Comparative Endocrinology 83, 193–217.
- Hughes, J. M., Mather, P. B., Toon, A., et al. 2003. High levels of extra-group paternity in a population of Australian magpies *Gymnorhina tibicen*: evidence from microsatellite analysis. *Molecular Ecology* 12, 3441–3450.
- Illius, A. W., Haynes, N. B., Lamming, G. E., et al. 1983. Evaluation of LH-RH stimulation of testosterone as an index of reproductive status in rams and its application in wild antelope. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 68, 105–112.
- Jennings, D. H., Moore, M. C., Knapp, R., Matthews, L., and Orchinik, M. 2000. Plasma steroid-binding globulin mediation of differences in stress reactivity in alternative male phenotypes in tree lizards, Urosaurus ornatus. General and Comparative Endocrinology 120, 289–299.
- Jones, C. B. 1995. Alternative reproductive behaviors in the mantled howler monkey (*Alouatta palliata* Gray): testing Carpenter's hypothesis. *Boletín de Primatología Latina* 5, 1–5.
- Keane, B., Waser, P. M., Creel, S. R., et al. 1994. Subordinate reproduction in dwarf mongooses. Animal Behaviour 47, 65–75.
- Ketterson, E. D. and Nolan Jr., V. 1999. Adaptation, exaptation, and constraint: a hormonal perspective. *American Naturalist* 154, S4–S25.
- Khalil, A. M., Murakami, N., and Kaseda, Y. 1998. Relationship between plasma testosterone concentrations and age, breeding season and harem size in Misaki feral horses. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science* 60, 643–645.
- Khan, M. Z., McNabb, F. M. A., Walters, J. R., and Sharp, P. J. 2001. Patterns of testosterone and prolactin concentrations and reproductive behavior of helpers and breeders in the cooperatively breeding red–cockaded woodpecker (*Picoides borealis*). *Hormones and Behavior* 40, 1–13.
- Kim, S. J., Ogasawara, K., Park, J. G., Takemura, A., and Nakamura, M. 2002. Sequence and expression of androgen receptor and estrogen receptor gene in the sex types of protogynous wrasse, *Heliochoeres trimaculatus. General and Comparative Endocrinology* **127**, 165–173.
- Kimball, R. T. and Ligon, J. D. 1999. Evolution of avian plumage dichromatism from a proximate perspective. *American Naturalist* 154, 182–193.
- Kindler, P. M., Philipp, D. P., Gross, M. R., and Bahr, J. M. 1989. Serum 11-ketotestosterone and testosterone concentrations associated with reproduction in male bluegill

(Lepomis macrochirus: Centrarchidae). General and Comparative Endocrinology **75**, 446–453.

- Knapp, R. 2003. Endocrine mediation of vertebrate male alternative reproductive tactics: the next generation of studies. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 43, 658–668.
- Knapp, R. and Moore, M. C. 1996. Male morphs in tree lizards, Urosaurus ornatus, have different delayed hormonal responses to aggressive encounters. Animal Behaviour 52, 1045–1055.
- Knapp, R. and Moore, M. C. 1997. Male morphs in tree lizards have different testosterone responses to elevated levels of corticosterone. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 107, 273–279.
- Knapp, R., Carlisle, S. L., and Jessop, T. S. 2002. A model for androgen–glucocorticoid interactions in male alternative reproductive tactics: potential roles for steroidogenic enzymes. *Hormones and Behavior* 41, 475.
- Knapp, R., Hews, D. K., Thompson, C. W., Ray, L. E., and Moore, M. C. 2003. Environmental and endocrine correlates of tactic switching by nonterritorial male tree lizards (*Urosaurus ornatus*). *Hormones and Behavior* 43, 83–92.
- Kraak, S. B. M. and Pen, I. R. 2002. Sex ratios: concepts and research methods. In Hardy, I. C. W. (ed.) Sex Determining Mechanisms in Vertebrates, pp. 158–177. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Kraus, C., Heistermann, M., and Kappeler, P. M. 1999. Physiological supression of sexual function of subordinate males: a subtle form of intrasexual competition among male sifakas (*Propithecus verreauxi*)? *Physiology and Behavior* 66, 855–861.
- Lacey, E. A. and Sherman, P. W. 1991. Social organization of naked mole-rat colonies: evidence for division of labour. In P. W. Sherman, J. U. M. Jarvis, and R. D. Alexander (eds.) *The Biology of the Naked Mole-Rat*, pp. 275–336. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Lank, D. B., Coupe, M., and Wynne-Edwards, K. E. 1999. Testosterone-induced male traits in female ruffs (*Philomachus pugnax*): autosomal inheritance and gender differentiation. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 266, 2323–2330.
- Leary, C. J., Jessop, T. S., Garcia, A. M., and Knapp, R. 2004. Steroid hormone profiles and relative body condition of calling and satellite toads: implications for proximate regulation of behavior in anurans. *Behavioral Ecology* 15, 313–320.
- Lee, J. S. F. and Bass, A. H. 2004. Effects of 11-ketotestosterone on brain, sonic muscle, and behavior in type-II midshipman fish. *Hormones and Behavior* 46, 115–116.

- Lozano, G. A. and Lank, D. B. 2004. Immunocompetence and testosterona-induced condition traits in male ruffs (*Philomachus pugnax*). *Animal Biology* 54, 315–329.
- Lynch, J. W., Ziegler, T. E., and Strier, K. B. 2002. Individual and seasonal variation in fecal testosterone and cortisol in wild male tufted capuchin monkeys, *Cebus apella nigritus*. *Hormones and Behavior* **41**, 275–287.
- Lynch Alfaro, J. W. 2005. Male mating strategies and reproductive constraints in a group of wild tufted capuchin monkeys, *Cebus apella nigritus*. *American Journal of Primatology* **67**, 313–328.
- Maggioncalda, A. N., Sapolsky, R. M., and Czekala, N. M. 1999. Reproductive hormone profiles in captive male orangutans: implications for understanding developmental arrest. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 109, 19–32.
- Maggioncalda, A. N., Czekala, N. M., and Sapolsky, R. M. 2000. Growth hormone and thyroid stimulating hormone concentrations in captive male orangutans: implications for understanding developmental arrest. *American Journal of Primatology* 50, 67–76.
- Maggioncalda, A. N., Czekala, N. M., and Sapolsky, R. M. 2002. Male orangutan subadulthood: a new twist on the relationship between chronic stress and developmental arrest. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* **118**, 25–32.
- Maney, D. L., Erwin, K. L., and Goode, C. T. (2005). Neuroendocrine correlates of behavioral polymorphism in white-throated sparrows. *Hormones and Behavior* 48, 196–206.
- Martin II, L. B., Scheuerlein, A., and Wikelski, M. 2003. Immune activity elevates energy expenditure of house sparrows: a link between direct and indirect costs? *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 270, 153–158.
- Mason, R. T. 1992. Reptilian pheromones. In C. Gans and D. Crews (eds.) *Hormones, Brain and Behavior*, vol. 18, *Biology of the Reptilia*, pp. 114–228. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Mason, R. T. and Crews, D. 1985. Female mimicry in garter snakes. *Nature* 316, 59–60.
- Matsuda, M., Nagahama, Y., Shinomiya, A., et al. 2002. DMY is a Y-specific DM-domain gene required for male development in the medaka fish. *Nature* 417, 559–563.
- Mayer, I., Lundqvist, H., Berglund, I., et al. 1990. Seasonal endocrine changes in Baltic salmon, Salmo salar, immature parr and mature male parr. 1. Plasma levels of five androgens, 17α-hydroxy-20β-dihydroprogesterone, and 17β-estradiol. Canadian Journal of Zoology 68, 1360–1365.

- Mays, N. A., Vleck, C. M., and Dawson, J. 1991. Plasma luteinizing hormone, steroid hormones, behavioral role, and nest stage in cooperatively breeding harris' hawks (*Parabuteo unicinctus*). Auk 108, 619–637.
- McDonnell, S. M. and Murray, S. C. 1995. Bachelor and harem stallion behavior and endocrinology. *Biology of Reproduction Monographs* 1, 577–590.
- Mendonça, M. T., Licht, P., Ryan, M. J., and Barnes, R. 1985. Changes in hormone levels in relation to breeding behavior in male bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) at the individual and population levels. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 58, 270–279.
- Ming, G.-L. and Song, H. 2005. Adult neurogenesis in the mammalian central nervous system. *Annual Reviews in Neuroscience* 28, 223–250.
- Mizusaki, H., Kawabe, K., Mukai, T., et al. 2003. Dax-1 (dosage-sensitive sex reversal-adrenal hypoplasia congenita critical region on the X chromosome, gene 1) gene transcription is regulated by mnt4 in the female developing gonad. Molecular Endocrinology 17, 507–519.
- Modesto, T. and Canário, A. V. M. 2003a. Morphometric changes and sex steroid levels during the annual reproductive cycle of the Lusitanian toadfish, *Halobatrachus didactylus*. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 131, 220–231.
- Modesto, T. and Canário, A. V. M. 2003b. Hormonal control of swimbladder sonic muscle dimorphism in the Lusitanian toadfish *Halobatrachus didactylus*. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 206, 3467–3477.
- Moore, M. C. 1991. Application of organization-activation theory to alternative male reproductive strategies: a review. *Hormones and Behavior* 25, 154–179.
- Moore, M. C., Hews, D. K., and Knapp, R. 1998. Hormonal control and evolution of alternative male phenotypes: generalizations of models for sexual differentiation. *American Zoologist* 38, 133–151.
- Mooring, M. S., Patton, M. L., Lance, V. A., *et al.* 2004. Fecal androgens of bison bulls during the rut. *Hormones and Behavior* **46**, 392–398.
- Morrish, B. C. and Sinclair, A. H. 2002. Vertebrate sex determination: many means to an end. *Reproduction* **124**, 447–457.
- Moss, A. M., Clutton-Brock, T. H., and Monfort, S. L. 2001. Longitudinal gonadal steroid excretion in free-living male and female meerkats (*Suricata suricatta*). *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **122**, 158–171.
- Muehlenbein, M. P., Watts, D. P., and Whitten, P. 2004. Dominance rank and fecal testosterone levels in adult male chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*) at Ngogo,

Kibale National park, Uganda. American Journal of Primatology 64, 71-82.

Mulder, R. A., Duna, P. O., Cockburn, A., Lazenby-Cohen, K. A., and Howell, M. J. 1994. Helpers liberate female fairywrens from constraints on extra-pair mate choice. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 255, 223–229.

Muller, M. N. and Wrangham, R. W. 2004a. Dominance, aggression and testosterone in wild chimpanzees: a test of the "challenge hypothesis." *Animal Behaviour* 67, 113–123.

Muller, M. N. and Wrangham, R. W. 2004b. Dominance, cortisol and stressing wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes schweinfurthii*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 55, 332–340.

Munday, P. and Jones, G. 1998. Bi-directional sex change in a coral-dwelling goby. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 43, 371–377.

Nanda, I., Kondo, M., Hornung, U., et al. 2002. A duplicated copy of DMRT1 in the sex-determining region of the Y chromosome of the medaka, Oryzias latipes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 99, 11778–11783.

Nelson, R. J. 2005. *An Introduction to Behavioral Endocrinology*, 3rd edn. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates.

Nievergelt, C. M., Digby, L. J., Ramiakrishnan, U., and Woodruff, D. S. 2000. Genetic analysis of group composition and breeding system in a wild common marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*) population. *International Journal of Primatology* 21, 1–20.

Norris, K. and Evans, M. R. 2000. Ecological immunity: life history trade-offs and immune defense in birds. *Behavioral Ecology* 11, 19–20.

Oliveira, R. F. 2004. Social modulation of androgens in vertebrates: mechanisms and function. *Advances in the Study* of *Behavior* 34, 165–239.

Oliveira, R. F. 2005. Neuroendocrine mechanisms of alternative reproductive tactics in fish. In K. A. Sloman, R. W. Wilson, and S. Balshine (eds.) *Fish Physiology*, vol. 24, *Behavior and Physiology of Fish*, pp. 297–357. New York: Elsevier.

Oliveira, R. F. and Almada, V. C. 1998. Mating tactics and male-male courtship in the lek-breeding cichlid Oreochromis mossambicus. Journal of Fish Biology 52, 1115–1129.

Oliveira, R. F., Almada, V. C., and Canario, A. V. M. 1996. Social modulation of sex steroid concentrations in the urine of male cichlid fish *Oreochromis mossambicus*. *Hormones and Behavior* 30, 2–12. Oliveira, R. F., Miranda, J. S., Carvalho, N., et al. 2000. Male mating success in the Azorean rock-pool blenny: the effects of body size, male behaviour and nest characteristics. *Journal of Fish Biology* 57, 1416–1428.

Oliveira, R. F., Almada, V. C., Gonçalves, E. J., Forsgren, E., and Canario, A. V. M. 2001a. Androgen levels and social interactions in breeding males of the peacock blenny. *Journal of Fish Biology* 58, 897–908.

Oliveira, R. F., Canario, A. V. M., and Grober, M. S. 2001b. Male sexual polymorphism, alternative reproductive tactics and androgens in combtooth blennies (Pisces: Blenniidae). *Hormones and Behavior* **40**, 266–275.

Oliveira, R. F., Canário, A. V. M., Grober, M. S., and Santos, R. S. 2001c. Endocrine correlates of alternative reproductive tactics and male polymorphism in the Azorean rock-pool blenny, *Parablennius sanguinolentus parvicornis. General and Comparative Endocrinology* 121, 278–288.

Oliveira, R. F., Carneiro, L. A., Gonçalves, D. M., Canario, A. V. M., and Grober, M. S. 2001d. 11-ketotestosterone inhibits the alternative mating tactic in sneaker males of the peacock blenny, *Salaria pavo. Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 58, 28–37.

Oliveira, R. F., Carneiro, L. A., Canário, A. V. M., and Grober, M. S. 2001e. Effects of androgens on social behaviour and morphology of alternative reproductive males of the Azorean rock-pool blenny. *Hormones and Behavior* 39, 157–166.

Oliveira, R. F., Hirschenhauser, K., Carneiro, L. A., and Canario, A. V. M. 2002. Social modulation of androgens in male teleost fish. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology B* 132, 203–215.

Oliveira, R. F., Hirschenhauser, K., Canario, A. V. M., and Taborsky, M. 2003. Androgen levels of reproductive competitors in a cooperatively breeding cichlid. *Journal of Fish Biology* 63, 1615–1620.

Oliveira, R. F., Ros, A. F. H., and Gonçalves, D. M. 2005. Intra-sexual variation in male reproduction in teleost fish: a comparative approach. *Hormones and Behavior* 48, 430–439.

O'Riain, M. J., Jarvis, J. U. M., and Faulkes, C. G. 1996. A dispersive morph in the naked mole-rat. *Nature* 380, 619–621.

O'Riain, M. J., Bennett, N. C., Brotherton, P. N. M., McIlrath, G., and Clutton-Brock, T. 2000a. Reproductive suppression and inbreeding avoidance in wild populations of cooperatively breeding meerkats (*Suricata suricatta*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 48, 471–477.

O'Riain, M. J., Jarvis, J. U. M., Alexander, R., Buffenstein, R., and Peeters, C. 2000b. Morphological castes in a vertebrate. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 97, 13194–13197.

Owens, I. P. F. and Short, R. 1995. Hormonal basis of sexual dimorphism in birds: implications of new theories of sexual selection. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 10, 44–47.

Parhar, I. 2002. Cell migration and evolutionary significance of GnRH subtypes. Progress in Brain Research 141, 3–17.

Pelletier, F., Bauman, J., and Festa-Bianchet, M. 2003. Fecal testosterone in bighorn sheep (*Ovis canadensis*): behavioral and endocrine correlates. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 81, 1678–1684.

Perry, A. N. and Grober, M. S. 2003. A model for social control of sex change: interactions of behavior, neuropetides, glucocorticoids, and sex steroids. *Hormones* and Behavior 43, 31–38.

Peters, A., Astheimer, L. B., and Cockburn, A. 2001. The annual testosterone profile in cooperatively breeding superb fairy-wrens, *Malurus cyaneus*, reflects their extreme infidelity. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 50, 519–527.

Peters, A., Cockburn, A., and Cunningham, R. 2002. Testosterone treatment suppresses paternal care in superb fairy-wrens, *Malurus cyaneus*, despite their concurrent investment in courtship. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 51, 538–547.

Phoenix, C., Goy, R., Gerall, A., and Young, W. 1959. Organizing action of prenatally-administered testosterone proprionate on the tissues mediating mating behavior in the female guinea pig. *Endocrinology* 65, 369–382.

Pieau, C. and Dorizzi, M. 2004. Oestrogens and temperaturedependent sex determination in reptiles: all is in the gonads. *Journal of Endocrinology* 181, 367–377.

Poiani, A. and Fletcher, T. 1994. Plasma levels of androgens and gonadal development of breeders and helpers in the bell miner (*Manorina melanophrys*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 34, 31–41.

Quinn, J. S., Woolfenden, G. E., Fitzpatrick, J. W., and White, B. N. 1999. Multi-locus DNA fingerprinting supports genetic monogamy in Florida scrub-jays. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 45, 1–10.

Rachlow, J. L., Berkeley, E. V., and Berger J. 1998. Correlates of male mating strategies in white rhinos (*Ceratotherium* simum). Journal of Mammalogy 79, 1317–1324.

Ray, J. C. and Sapolsky, R. M. 1992. Styles of male social behavior and their endocrine correlates among high-ranking wild baboons. *American Journal of Primatology* 28, 231–250.

Reavis, R. H. and Grober, M. S. 1999. An integrative approach to sex change: social, behavioural and neurochemical changes in *Lythrypnus dalli* (Pisces). *Acta Ethologica* 2, 51–60.

Reeve, H. K., Westneat, D. F., Noon, W. A., Sherman, P. W., and Aquadro, C. F. 1990. DNA "fingerprinting" reveals high levels of inbreeding in colonies of the eusocial naked mole-rat. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 87, 2496–2500.

Reinboth, R. and Becker, B. 1984. In vitro studies on steroid metabolism by gonadal tissues from ambisexual teleosts. 1. Conversion of 14-C testosterone by males and females of the protogynous wrasse *Coris julis* L. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 55, 245–250.

Reyer, H.-U. 1980. Flexible helper structure as an ecological adaptation in the pied kingfisher (*Ceryle rudis*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 6, 219–227.

Reyer, H.-U. 1984. Investment and relatedness: a cost/benefit analysis of breeding and helping in the pied kingfisher (*Ceryle rudis*). *Animal Behaviour* **32**, 1163–1178.

Reyer, H.-U., Dittami, J., and Hall, M. R. 1986. Avian helpers at the nest: are they psychologically castrated? *Ethology* 71, 216–228.

Rhen, T. and Crews, D. 2002. Variation in reproductive behaviour within a sex: neural systems and endocrine activation. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology* 14, 517–531.

Richardson, D. S., Jury, F. L., Blaakmer, K., Komdeur, J., and Burke, T. 2001. Parentage assignment and extra-group paternity in a cooperative breeder: the Seychelles warbler (*Acrocephalus sechellensis*). *Molecular Ecology* 10, 2263–2273.

Ros, A. F. H., Canario, A. V. M., Couto, E., Zeilstra, I., and Oliveira, R. F. 2003. Endocrine correlates of intra-specific variation in the mating system of the St. Peter's fish (*Sarotherodon galilaeus*). *Hormones and Behavior* 44, 365–373.

Ros, A. F. H., Bouton, N., Santos, R. S., and Oliveira, R. F. 2006. Alternative male reproductive tactics and the immuncompetence handicap in the Azorean rock-pool blenny, *Parablennius parvicornis. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 273, 901–909.

Rose, R. M., Holaday, J. W., and Bernstein, I. S. 1971. Plasma testosterone, dominance rank and aggressive behaviour in male rhesus monkeys. *Nature* 231, 366–368.

Sands, J. and Creel, S. 2004. Social dominance, aggression and faecal glucocorticoid levels in a wild population of wolves, *Canis lupus. Animal Behaviour* 67, 387–396.

Sapolsky, R. M. 1983. Endocrine aspects of social instability in the olive baboon (*Papio anubis*). American Journal of Primatology 5, 365–379. Sapolsky, R. M. and Ray, J. 1989. Styles of dominance and their physiological correlates among wild baboons. *American Journal of Primatology* 18, 1–13.

Sapolsky, R. M., Romero, L. M., and Munck, A. U. 2000. How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. *Endocrine Reviews* 21, 55–89.

Sarre, S. D., Georges, A., and Quinn, A. 2004. The ends of a continuum: genetic and temperature-dependent sex determination in reptiles. *BioEssays* 26, 639–645.

Sauther, M. L. 1991. Reproductive behavior of free-ranging Lemur catta at Beza Mahafaly Special Reserve, Madagascar. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 84, 463–477.

Schlinger, B. A., Greco, C., and Bass, A. H. 1999. Aromatase activity in the hindbrain and vocal control region of a teleost fish: divergence among males with alternative reproductive tactics. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 266, 131–136.

Schmidt, L. G., Bradshaw, S. D., and Follett, B. K. 1991. Plasma levels of luteinizing hormone and androgens in relation to age and breeding status among cooperatively breeding Australian magpies (*Gymnorhina tibicen* Latham). *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 83, 48–55.

Schoech, S. J., Mumme, R. L., and Moore, M. 1991. Reproductive endocrinology and mechanisms of breeding inhibition in cooperatively breeding Florida scrub jays (*Aphelocoma c. coerulescens*). Condor 93, 354–364.

Schoech, S. J., Mumme, R. L., and Wingfield, J. C. 1996. Delayed breeding in the cooperatively breeding Florida scrub-jay (*Aphelocoma coerulescens*): inhibition or the absence of stimulation? *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 39, 77–90.

Schulz, R. W. and Miura, T. 2002. Spermatogenesis and its endocrine regulation. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* 26, 43–56.

Schwarzenberger, F., Sterregaard, F., Elias, F., Baumgartner, R., and Walzer, C. 2004. Who is the boss? Endocrinological evaluation of re-introduced takhis in Takhin Tal: implications and consequences. In P. Kaczensky (ed.) *Abstracts of the 2nd International Workshop on the Re-Introduction of the Przemaski's Horse*, pp. 22–23. Takhin Tal (Mongolia): International Takhi Group.

Setchell, J. M. and Dixon, A. F. 2001. Arrested development of secondary sexual adornments in subordinate adult male mandrills (*Mandrillus sphinx*). *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 115, 245–252.

Sheldon, B. C. and Verhulst, S. 1996. Ecological immunity: costly parasite defences and trade-offs in

evolutionary ecology. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 11, 317–321.

Shine, R., Harlow, P., Lemaster, M. P., Moore, I. T., and Mason, R. T. 2000. The travestite serpent: why do male garter snakes court (some) other males? *Animal Behaviour* 59, 349–359.

Shuster, S. M. and Wade, M. J. 2003. Mating Systems and Strategies. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Silverin, B. and Wingfield, J. C. 1982. Patterns of breeding behaviour and plasma levels of hormones in a free-living population of pied flycatchers, *Ficedula hypoleuca*. *Journal of Zoology* 198, 117–129.

Simon, N. G. 2002. Hormonal processes in the development and expression of aggressive behavior. In D. W. Pfaff, A. P. Arnold, A. M. Etgen, S. E. Farbach, and R. T. Rubin (eds.) *Hormones, Brain and Behavior*, vol. 1, pp. 339–392. New York: Academic Press.

Sinervo, B., Miles, D. B., Frankino, W. A., Klukowski, M., and DeNardo, D. F. 2000. Testosterone, endurance, and Darwinian fitness: natural and sexual selection on the physiological bases of alternative male behaviors in side-blotched lizards. *Hormones and Behavior* 38, 222–233.

Slater, C. H. and Schreck, C. B. 1997. Physiological levels of testosterone kill salmonid leukocytes *in vitro*. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **106**, 113–119.

Slater, C. H. and Schreck, C. B. 1998. Season and physiological parameters modulate salmonid leucocyte androgen receptor affinity and abundance. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology* 8, 379–391.

Slater, C. H., Fitzpatrick, M. S. and Schreck, C. B. 1995. Characterization of an androgen receptor in salmonid lymphocytes: possible link to androgen induced immunosuppression. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* **100**, 218–225.

Solomon, N. G. and French, J. A. (eds.) 1997. Cooperative Breeding in Mammals. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Smith, C. A. and Sinclair, A. H. 2004. Sex determination: insights from the chicken. *BioEssays* 26, 120–132.

Stevenson, I. R. and Bancroft, D. R. 1995. Fluctuating tradeoffs favour precocial maturity in male Soay sheep. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B* 262, 267–275.

Sussman, R. W. 1991. Demography and social organization of free-ranging *Lemur catta* in the Beza Mahafaly Reserve, Madagascar. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 84, 43–58.

- Swain, A., Narvaez, S., Burgoyne, P., Camerino, G., and Lovellbadge, R. 1998. *Dax1* antagonizes *Sry* action in mammalian sex determination. *Nature* 391, 761–767.
- Taborsky, M. 1994. Sneakers, satellites, and helpers: parasitic and cooperative behavior in fish reproduction. *Advances in the Study of Behavior* 23, 1–100.
- Taborsky, M. 1997. Bourgeois and parasitic tactics: do we need collective, functional terms for alternative reproductive behaviours? *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 41, 361–362.
- Taborsky, M. 1998. Sperm competition in fish: "bourgeois" males and parasitic spawning. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 13, 222–227.
- Thompson, C. W. and Moore, M. C. 1992. Behavioral and hormonal correlates of alternative reproductive strategies in a polygynous lizard: tests of the relative plasticity and challenge hypotheses. *Hormones and Behavior* **26**, 568–585.
- Tousignant, A. and Crews, D. 1995. Incubation temperature and gonadal sex affect growth and physiology in the leopard gecko (*Eublepharis macularius*), a lizard with temperaturedependent sex determination. *Journal of Morphology* 224, 159–170.
- Uglem, I., Rosenqvist, G., and Schioler Wasslavik, H. 2000. Phenotypic variation between dimorphic males in corkwing wrasse (*Symphodus melops* L.). *Journal of Fish Biology* 57, 1–14.
- Uglem, I., Galloway, T. F., Rosenqvist, G., and Folstad, I. 2001. Male dimorphism, sperm traits and immunology in the corkwing wrasse (*Symphodus melops L.*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **50**, 511–518.
- Uglem, I., Mayer, I., and Rosenqvist, G. 2002. Variation in plasma steroids and reproductive traits in dimorphic males of corkwing wrasse (*Symphodus melops* L.). *Hormones and Behavior* **41**, 396–404.
- Vaiman, D. and Pailhoux, E. 2000. Mammalian sex reversal and intersexuality: deciphering the sex-determination cascade. *Trends in Genetics* 16, 488–494.
- Valencia, J., de la Cruz, C., and González B. 2003. Flexible helping behaviour in the azure-winged magpie. *Ethology* 109, 545–558.
- Virgin, C. E. and Sapolsky, R. M. 1997. Styles of male social behavior and their endocrine correlates among lowranking baboons. *American Journal of Primatology* 42, 25–39.
- Vleck, C. M. and Brown, J. L. 1999. Testosterone and social and reproductive behaviour in Aphelcoma jays. *Animal Behaviour* 58, 943–951.

- Volff, J.-N., Kondo, M., and Schartl, M. 2003. Medaka dmY/dmrt1Y is not the universal primary sex-determining gene in fish. *Trends in Genetics* 19, 196–199.
- Watson, N. V., Freeman, L. M., and Breedlove, S. M. 2001. Neuronal size in the spinal nucleus of the bulbocavernosus: direct modulation by androgen in rats with mosaic androgen insensitivity. *Journal of Neuroscience* 21, 1062–1066.
- Wedekind, C. and Folstad, I. 1994. Adaptive and nonadaptive immunosuppression by sex hormones. *American Naturalist* 143, 936–938.
- West, P. M. and Packer, C. 2002. Sexual selection, temperature and the lion's mane. *Science* 297, 1339–1343.
- Whitfield, C. W., Cziko, A. M., and Robinson, G. E. 2003. Gene expression profiles in the brain predict behavior in individual honey bees. *Science* **302**, 296–299.
- Wikelski, M., Steiger, S. S., Gall, B., and Nelson, K. N. 2005. Sex, drugs, and mating role: testosterone-induced phenotype-switching in Galapagos marine iguanas. *Behavioral Ecology* 16, 260–268.
- Wilson, J. D., Leihy, M. W., Shaw, G., and Renfree, M. B. 2002. Androgen physiology: unsolved problems at the millennium. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* 198, 1–5.
- Wingfield, J. C., Hegner, R. E., Dufty, A. M., and Ball, G. F. 1990. The "challenge hypothesis": theoretical implications for patterns of testosterone secretion, mating systems, and breeding strategies. *American Naturalist* 136, 829–846.
- Wingfield, J. C., Hegner, R. E., and Lewis, D. M. 1991. Circulating levels of luteinizing hormone and steroid hormones in relation to social status in the cooperatively breeding white-browed sparrow weaver, *Plocepasser mahali. Journal of Zoology* 225, 43–58.
- Zucker, E. L., O'Neil, J. A. S., and Harrison, R. M. 1996. Fecal testosterone values for free-ranging male mantled howling monkeys (*Alouatta palliata*) in Costa Rica. IPS/ ASP 1996 Congress Abstracts, p. 112.
- Zupanc, G. K. H. 2001. A comparative approach towards the understanding of adult neurogenesis. *Brain, Behavior and Evolution* 58, 246–249.
- Zupanc, G. K. H. and Lamprecht, J. 2000. Towards a cellular understanding of motivation: structural reorganization and biochemical switching as key mechanisms of behavioral plasticity. *Ethology* **106**, 467–477.